

Minutes
LAFAYETTE TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Regular Meeting
Monday, July 19, 2010

Meeting called to order: 7:01 p.m. followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Members Present: Trustee Lynda Bowers, Trustee Donald Butler, Trustee Nanci Shanley, Fiscal Officer Shirley Bailey.

Minutes -

L. Bowers – The first item on our agenda is we have a series of minutes to go through. And I apologize to the members of the Board. My notes show that we did not approve the May 24, 2010 draft that we received on the 11th, but Joy said that the minutes that we just got today shows that we did. And I haven't had a chance to check that we did do that.

S. Bailey – Yeah. You approved them on June 21st.

L. Bowers – Okay. We have the **June 2nd** draft that was received on June 18th, just before our last meeting. Any comments, questions, corrections?

N. Shanley – None.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler? (no response heard) Is there a motion to approve? This, the ones that were held over from last meeting, I'm sorry.

N. Shanley – That's June 2nd?

L. Bowers – Yes.

N. Shanley – I move that we approve the June 2nd, 2010 minutes.

L. Bowers – And I will second. All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – Opposed, same sign. June 14th, 2010. The draft was received July 8th.

N. Shanley – I'll move that we approve the June 14th, 2010 meeting minutes.

D. Butler – Second.

L. Bowers – Moved by Mrs. Shanley, seconded by Mr. Butler. All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. **June 21st** we just received on the 19th. **Do the members want to hold that one?**

N. Shanley – Yes.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler? Want to hold that one?

D. Butler – Absolutely.

L. Bowers – Okay. **June 23rd, 2010.** The Special Meeting with R. P. Hughes. Draft was received with the agenda. Comments or corrections?

N. Shanley – I have none.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler? (no response heard) Is there a motion?

D. Butler – I'll move we adopt.

L. Bowers – I'll second. All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, L. Bowers, D. Butler – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. **June 23rd, the 11:30 Budget Meeting.** Do we want to do that one or you want to hold it? That one was...

N. Shanley – I would prefer to hold it. It's too...I haven't finished reading it.

L. Bowers – Okay. Yeah, I, you know, I was gonna say, I started something on that but I don't think I had because it was a continuation. **July 7th, 2010.** The draft was received with the agenda – Special Meeting. Questions or comments?

N. Shanley – None. I'll move that we approve the July 7th, 2010 Meeting minutes.

D. Butler – Second.

L. Bowers – Moved by Mrs. Shanley, seconded by Mr. Butler. All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – Opposed, same sign. **July 14th meeting we received the draft on July 15th. We'll hold those to the next meeting?**

N. Shanley – Yes.

L. Bowers – Yes?

N. Shanley – Yes.

L. Bowers – And I believe we received a draft today as well. Is that correct, Joy?

N. Shanley – That was the 21st, I think.

L. Bowers – And that we'll...

J. Turpin – That was June 21st.

L. Bowers – Right. And that we'll hold till the next meeting as well.

New Business:

Chippewa Landing Site Plan Approval -

L. Bowers - And if there's no objection we will move new business, Chippewa Landing, to the head of the class. How's that? The Lafayette Township Board of Trustees has before it a site plan for the Chippewa Landing Project. We have received the Medina County Planning Commission staff report, the Medina County Commission...Medina County Planning Commission Approval, and also the Lafayette Township Zoning Commission approval and the maps have been on file in the Fiscal Officer's office.

G. Sills – (indecipherable – not at mic)

L. Bowers – Correct. Correct. It is. Thank you. Mr. Sills, do you want to make a presentation of any sort?

G. Sills – I can if you'd like. Basically the reason for coming back here at this point...

D. Butler – Microphone.

N. Shanley – Microphone, please.

G. Sills – Gary Sills with Chippewa Partners. The reason for coming back to you at this point with an amended site plan and an amended subdivision plan is to update the plan to substantially reflect what we are planning to build in phase one, which is now being financed through issuance of recovery zone facility bonds that will be issued by the Medina County Port Authority. We are anticipating a closing on the bond issue around the end of August and it became necessary for the bond documentation that the site plan, which has been adjusted, I would say, has minor adjustments for what had been previously approved, this required that we had approval on the actual plan that we're going to build. The changes are very minor. They involve relocation of the spa and the Tatami suites from Lake Road up to near where the hotel is and some minor shifting of a few of the buildings and basically the plan is pretty much the same as originally approved, however the overall density is reduced somewhat and other than that we've met the requirements of the Chippewa Resort Overlay District and the comments from the Zoning Commission and the Planning Commission.

L. Bowers – Thank you, Mr. Sills. Members of the Board have any questions? Mrs. Shanley?

N. Shanley – No.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler? (no response heard) I just want to thank Mrs. Schoonover and our Zoning Commission, our Chair Karen Schoonover’s in the audience. I attended the meeting where they went through this and they did an excellent job. They really went over it thoroughly and I appreciate that. Mrs. Strogin, any comments?

A. Strogin – No. Those cover it all.

L. Bowers – Let the record indicate that Mrs. Strogin said no. Thank you.

A. Strogin – No, it’s fine.

L. Bowers – It’s fine. With no questions and no discussion, is there a motion? Well then, I’ll make it. I would move that we approve the site plan and subdivision for Chippewa Landing as approved by the Lafayette Township Zoning Commission and the Medina County Planning Commission.

N. Shanley- I will second that. I do have a question, that’s why I didn’t move when you asked.

L. Bowers – Okay. Sure.

N. Shanley – I don’t have the map and I’ve looked at it on and off. Are you still looking at doing any residential at all in there?

G. Sills – The only straight residential...do you need me to come up there?

N. Shanley – Yes.

L. Bowers – Yes. Sorry.

G. Sills – The only straight residential is, are the thirty-five units that are being shown around the culinary institute. Everything else are hotel type resort units.

N. Shanley- And do you see those as being just, you know, regular residences, no connection to the culinary institute or ...

G. Sills – They may be faculty and staff of the culinary institute. We haven’t decided that yet.

N. Shanley – Okay.

G. Sills – But that, that may be the first use for those.

N. Shanley – Okay. I’ll still second the motion. The only comment I would have is that I personally would have liked to have seen a little bit more residential because I consider that to be a good anchor for the area. If the other things struggle, you still have a base there of something that’s going on, but given all the approvals and all the, you know, the attention that’s been given to this and the comments, I’ll still second.

G. Sills – Thank you.

L. Bowers – Thank you. Is there any further, anything? Mr. Butler? Mrs. Shanley?

N. Shanley – No.

L. Bowers – Hearing no further comments, all in favor, aye?

L. Bowers, N. Shanley – Aye.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler?

D. Butler – Abstain.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler abstains. You want to give us a reason?

D. Butler – I'm just not comfortable with all the changes over time, anywhere from housing to...it keeps changing and now we're using government money to fund the thing. I'm just not very comfortable with it as a long term project to be honest with you.

L. Bowers – Okay. Thank you. Anything, anybody else in the audience want to make a comment? We're done with this issue now, we'll move on? Okay.

Correspondence -

L. Bowers - Moving on, our agenda, correspondence. Mrs. Bailey do you have anything that you need to bring to us in correspondence?

N. Shanley – While she's looking, I do have a question. Is there a reason why we're moving correspondence to the front of the meeting? Previously we've had it after all the department reports.

L. Bowers – We can put it anywhere you want. You want to put it towards the end, we can do that.

N. Shanley – I'm just wondering why, previously we had always put it at the end of...

L. Bowers – I moved it...I moved it because I'm using a template agenda from the Ohio Township Association. I just started using it. It, there's no particular reason. We can move it if you'd like. Want to? Want to move it?

D. Butler – Let's move it to the end.

L. Bowers – We can move correspondence to the end. Done. Okay.

Financial Report -

L. Bowers - Shirley, you're still on. Fiscal Officer's report.

S. Bailey – Okay. I handed out last week I put in your boxes the financial report. As I explained, after talking to Scott Varney, our auditor, he suggested that the financial report is best if I use the month prior to the meeting because it is a completed report. So that is what you've received and that's what I have here for signatures, so nothing has changed on this. It should be the same as what you have, had in your boxes, okay? So I'll need the signatures on it.

N. Shanley – I do have a question. Less the secondary balance – where is that secondary balance expressed?

S. Bailey – It's expressed in a...statement from the bank. As I say when I'm going through the fund status, the secondary balance is your HRA and the HRA is on a separate statement and you have a copy there of it and it shows what the balance should be. Any other questions? It's a separate...

N. Shanley – Yeah, that's what I'm looking for. I did talk to Scott Varney, just so you know, and he did say, and that's what I'm looking for, is that for anything listed, and that's why I'm asking for the forty-two, forty-eight forty-two sixty-nine, there should be something in this packet that would, and that's what I'm looking for.

S. Bailey – Well, you want to give me the packet?

N. Shanley – I think I have it. I think I have it. Would it be...I'm looking for the name of the actual...

D. Butler – HRA account.

N. Shanley – It's a...the ledger balance? Is that what you're referring to? Is that the secondary balance is something called a ledger balance?

S. Bailey – No.

D. Butler – Yes.

N. Shanley – That's forty-eight twenty-two sixty-nine.

S. Bailey – Well, it's a actual, it's a statement that's actually for the HRA. That's the only thing that's on it.

D. Butler – It's the HRA statement, yeah.

S. Bailey – Right.

N. Shanley – Okay. So HRA account ledger balance, forty-eight twenty-two sixty-nine.

S. Bailey – Right.

N. Shanley – So every month when we see that secondary balance, if we go to this, that's what that number will be.

S. Bailey – Right.

N. Shanley – Okay. That's my question.

S. Bailey – That number will reduce though.

N. Shanley – Right. Whatever that number is that's here...

S. Bailey – Right.

N. Shanley- That is where we will find the corresponding number.

S. Bailey – Correct.

N. Shanley – Okay. That's my question.

S. Bailey – Alright.

L. Bowers –Nanci, I just...

S. Bailey – And...

L. Bowers – I'm sorry. I would just like to point out, cause you said that you called Scott Varney, Varney and Associates are contracted with the Township to do our audit. I just want to make sure that you're aware that they bill us by the hour when they call, so...

N. Shanley – I am aware of that.

L. Bowers – Be aware of that. Be aware of that.

N. Shanley – However, we need to educate ourselves so we don't make errors.

L. Bowers – Well, might want to ask Shirley those questions first.

N. Shanley – I did.

L. Bowers – Okay.

S. Bailey – Here you go. And this is the fund status, you want to pass that down.

L. Bowers – I just didn't want to get a surprise cause you might not know that he bills us.

N. Shanley – Yup. It was a five minute phone call and yes I had asked previously. This goes to Don.

S. Bailey – Now this is the fund status as of today. Things do move around and of course this will not balance with anything because we're in the middle of a month. The checking balance at the present time, \$1,620,551.44. The secondary balance, which is the HRA, is at \$4,822.69, which leaves us a balance then of \$1,615,728.75. The investment balance at the present time is \$1,333,991.32. Is there any questions on that?

Requisitions -

S. Bailey - Now, you had on the...okay, for the requisitions, on the requisitions for, as of today, for Service we have \$227.60, for the Administration Building \$284.12, and for Fire and Rescue \$360.51. Giving us a total of \$872.23. Since you had this previous, any questions on any of these requisitions?

N. Shanley – I don't have a ques...well, I have one question and, two questions. Dave, is there any appreciable difference in price between topsoil at Frank Brothers and anything here with the Mulch Barn or anywhere else in the Township where we could buy it? Do they even sell topsoil?

D. Figgers – I have no idea.

N. Shanley – I'd like, just like to encourage us to buy from the, a Township business if we can, if the price is comparable and the quality's comparable. That's just my personal belief that we should try to support Township businesses.

L. Bowers – Do you want to just approve that requisition then in the amount instead of the specific vendor? We can do that.

N. Shanley – I will approve that. The other reason I'm shuffling papers is that I do want to go back to the request from last month. We do need to get you safety harness. I've done a little bit of research and they can be shared, they're not the same kind of personal apparatus that turnout gear would be for the Fire Department and I would like to see you have the safety equipment so I would like to, I thought I brought it with me but I didn't. Last month it was times two. Okay. So \$603.42 was for two. I would like to add to the requisitions the purchase of a safety harness and lanyard for one of, one safety harness and lanyard for you to share. Shirley, what would half that be?

L. Bowers – Any further discussion? Is there a motion to approve the requisitions with those changes?

N. Shanley – I'll move.

L. Bowers – Moved by Mrs. Shanley, seconded by Mrs. Bowers to approve the requisitions with those changes, one lanyard that we included in last month's discussion and...

N. Shanley – And safety...

L. Bowers – safety harness.

N. Shanley – Safety harness and lanyard.

L. Bowers – And the topsoil would be approved in the amount not specific to a vendor.
All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign?

N. Shanley - \$301.92? The addition of \$301.92.

Tax Budget -

L. Bowers – Each of us received a copy of the tax budget that we asked Shirley to fill out at a zero increase and at that time on June 23rd, she also talked to us about some amounts that she would be adjusting downward. Has everyone had an opportunity to look that over and review it? Before it, it's going to be filed tomorrow so if we have a question or a problem we should talk about it. I've gone through it pretty thoroughly and it, to me it looks just like what we told her to do. Nanci, you have questions?

N. Shanley – Looks good to me.

L. Bowers – Don?

D. Butler – No questions.

L. Bowers - Okay.

Depository Contracts -

S. Bailey – Also, July 9th is when the bank depository applications were due in. We received three. June 28th we received one from U.S. Bank, on June 29th we received from Charter One and on July 9th we received from First National. First National is the only one that marked their paperwork properly. When these came in I wasn't sure what they were for since they weren't marked and so they've been opened. For the Trustees to go through today...let you know that with a bank depository, we're not limited to just one or two or three. We can have as many as we want.

L. Bowers – That's a lot of copies. You know what I'm gonna do is, the Township has the ability to approve all of them and then it's up to the discretion of the Board to direct where the funds go. What I'm gonna ask the Board to do is, now and between the next meeting that we have, be it regular or schedule, stop in the office and look through these. Joy, to the extent that there just a couple of pages, like First National's, if you can make a copy of that for us. But Charter One's is pretty big...

S. Bailey – Well, First National's ...

L. Bowers – Oh, a book too. Let's just, does that work for the Board?

N. Shanley – Yup.

L. Bowers – You're okay with that, Mr. Butler?

N. Shanley – That's fine.

D. Butler – (indecipherable)

L. Bowers – You okay with that, Mrs. Shanley? And Mrs. Bailey, you can have them back. Anything else, Mrs. Bailey?

S. Bailey – That's all for me.

L. Bowers – Okay. Thank you. Department reports. Service. Mr. Butler?

N. Shanley – Do we need to make a formal motion?

L. Bowers – I'm sorry.

S. Bailey – Yes.

L. Bowers - Well, we're not, we're not doing anything, unless you want to accept them all and just approve them all, but I thought you'd want to look at them first.

S. Bailey – Well, Charter One we're using at the present time.

L. Bowers – We can do that if you'd like.

N. Shanley – At the very least I think we should do that. That takes care of the concern over that.

S. Bailey – Right and then...

L. Bowers – We can do that.

S. Bailey –The other too, because First, First National, the Vice President came in and talked to me and she said that their rates right now are .25. That's...

L. Bowers – I'm actually comfortable.

S. Bailey – the same as Charter One.

L. Bowers- I'm comfortable approving them all because that doesn't necessarily move anything.

S. Bailey – Right.

L. Bowers – And then we can look at that and look at the...

D. Butler – Any movement of money would have to be approved by us, right?

L. Bowers – By the Board, correct.

D. Butler – Okay.

L. Bowers – I'll go ahead and move that we approve the three depository contracts received, U.S. Bank, Charter One and First National as depositories for Lafayette Township consistent with the offering that was made. Is there a second?

N. Shanley – I will second.

L. Bowers – Moved by Mrs. Bowers, seconded by Mrs. Shanley. Any discussion by the Board? All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. Now we can have Service Department report.

Department Reports:

Service –

D. Figgers – Basically, just been cutting berms. I think we're ahead of the game, we're beating it back. I don't see anything that's four foot high anymore. One thing I'd like to talk about is the concrete that's sinking in the sidewalks. What would the Board like to do about it? I've talked to the Engineers in the County and it's a very gray area.

L. Bowers – Is that the one that Mr. Butler sent the email around about?

D. Butler – Yes.

D. Figgers – Correct, but there's also...

L. Bowers – Do you want to know what the policy has been?

D. Figgers – There's also another one on Wagon Trail and these are going to keep popping up as time goes by.

L. Bowers – The only other time there was a discussion of this issue, that I can recall since I've been on the Board, turned out that it wasn't a Township road, so that worked out for us. But typically, sidewalks are just the same as driveway aprons and that sort of thing. They are maintained by the property owner. I don't know that the Township wants to take on that obligation. What the Township does have an obligation to do is when we know that there is an inconsistency that's of a certain amount, and I, don't hold me to it, but I think the deviation is two inches. Is that right, Dave?

D. Figgers – I don't know.

L. Bowers – The last time we checked it with the City of Wadsworth's, and I don't recall what the number is, but if there's a certain deviation we have an obligation to mark it, make the property owner aware of it, ask the property owner to do the repair. If the property owner refuses, the Township can do the repair and have it accessed back to the tax duplicate. That's the way they've been handled.

N. Shanley – Is it possible it might be different in a municipality than a Township?

L. Bowers – No, it's not. The whole back row is shaking their head. We've been through this. It's, no, it's exactly the way it is.

A. Strogin – If it's a subdivision the HOA should...

L. Bowers – Right.

N. Shanley – Okay.

D. Figgers – Correct.

L. Bowers – The difficulty is is if you start taking on the responsibility, then you...

N. Shanley – Then you set a precedent.

L. Bowers – Take on the responsibility.

N. Shanley – Yeah, you've taken the, you've set a precedent.

D. Figgers – The other thing, there was a driveway that got a lot of tar on it, oil from this chip and seal and the, I think Don, you got a call on it, I got a call on it. At 2:00, or at 3:00, or 3:15 this afternoon the company was still out there scrubbing with grill brushes to get it all off their driveway, so, and they've been there since 8:00 this morning with a release agent and two scrub brushes, so, it looked a lot better than it did Friday. Whether the people are going to be happy with it, the tar is off, the oil is off, it stained the concrete a little bit. It's not a brand new driveway, it's an older driveway, it's got a lot of cracks in it. Whether the people are going to be happy with it, we'll have to, have to touch base with them again. Any questions?

N. Shanley – When you visit a property, do you, is it, do you leave a card or anything?

D. Figgers – We started leaving cards...no.

N. Shanley – Okay.

D. Figgers – Because we did leave cards when Donna Young was on Board and we left a number of cards and these people call up screaming, why were you on my property, why did you leave a card, what am, what are you doing?

L. Bowers – In this particular case you did call the property owner and let them know?

D. Figgers – Yes.

L. Bowers – Okay, that you were there and what was going on. Thank you.

D. Figgers – We try to do phone calls. Basically, it, when you hand them cards they tend to ignore them.

N. Shanley – I was just sugg...when all this came about and you reported back very nicely that you had been out there, it just occurred to me, did the homeowner know if they weren't home.

D. Figgers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – And I came up with this, use it or not. The Board can decide if they like it or not. It can be copied and cut up and it's just a courtesy notice. It says, "Lafayette Township – a member of the Township Service Department was here to follow up on your recent communication with us. Please call us if you have any questions or comments". And it lets them know you were there, they don't feel like they were ignored. I don't feel strongly about it one way or the other, I just thought to myself, if they're working, they're not home, it might be good for them to come home and see something like this.

D. Figgers – If you'd, if it's the Board's decision that I leave these, I'd be more than happy to do that.

L. Bowers – And Dave, I'll tell you, when we approved the street projects down there, just before Mr. Lytle moved in with his equipment, I actually went down and walked all of those streets. And the peop...places where I didn't find people home, I was, did that to encourage them not to park their cars on the road when we were coming in to do the improvements. And I got a number of phone calls back from the notes that I left, and they were all very positive.

D. Figgers – The people were very positive that the roads were done down there.

L. Bowers- Oh, and if you would please, I'm going to send a letter to Mr. Lytle, to let him know that they did an ...

D. Figgers – They did an excellent job.

L. Bowers – An excellent job. Yeah.

D. Figgers – Yes, they did.

L. Bowers – In a very, very difficult area to do it.

D. Figgers – Especially difficult area that they did. All the little...

L. Bowers – Have you been down to see it, Nanci?

N. Shanley – Um-hum.

L. Bowers – How they did those.

D. Figgers – They did all the little roads and dead ends and they did a nice job for what they had to work with.

L. Bowers – They really did.

N. Shanley – I'd like to make a motion that we adopt that and Dave uses it at his discretion. Does anybody have a problem with that, or a second?

L. Bowers – Consensus, we can do that.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – You okay with it, Don?

N. Shanley – Joy, I can send you the file. If we vote on it, I can just send you the file and you can just Xerox it.

L. Bowers – We approved it by consensus. You can...

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Go ahead with it.

N. Shanley – That's fine.

D. Figgers – Do we need maybe to put it in, put it in some type of a plastic sheet or something?

L. Bowers – Or put it on a harder card.

D. Figgers – Yeah a hard, or harder than this so that it doesn't blow away and so it also doesn't get wind or weather damage.

N. Shanley – Um-hum.

L. Bowers – Yeah, David, there was, there is also a question that Mr. Butler sent out. I don't know whether you want to address it or Dave about the truck that needs a repair. It's been sitting for how long waiting for that repair?

D. Figgers – Since the 24th of last month.

L. Bowers – And how much is the repair?

D. Figgers – Three, three hundred...

L. Bowers – Since the 24th of June?

D. Figgers – Yes. \$350.00 if...

L. Bowers – And the repair is for what?

D. Figgers – A brake line.

L. Bowers – Do you consider that a major repair?

D. Figgers – I consider brake lines maintenance, but...

L. Bowers – Okay.

D. Figgers – Major repair is transmission blows up, you throw a gear out of it...

L. Bowers – The things that you mentioned before when we talked about that.

D. Figgers – Yeah, like the rear end.

N. Shanley – Refresh my memory. What year is that?

D. Figgers – 1997.

N. Shanley – Is this the one that we were originally supposed to get rid of or is that just my imagination?

L. Bowers – No, we got rid of that one.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – The discussion on this one was instead of replacing it, to keep it and keep track of it until it started needing major repairs. And at that time the discussion was just what Dave said – transmission, you know, that sort of thing. This vehicle, and I just made a list and wrote it down and I called and asked what they're still using it for. They mix cement with it, they haul small loads, they berm cut with it, they haul dead animals, they hot patch, they cold patch, pull the trailers, it's used in snow plowing, and you know, the discussion was when it started getting into major repairs and costing a lot of money, right now this brake line's \$350.00 of maintenance. I think that we use the truck. I don't see getting rid of it for that.

D. Butler – Get it done.

D. Figgers – Okay. The other truck, maybe I misunderstood, but I, I thought I heard the Board say get it lettered or get the seal...

D. Butler – Um-hum.

D. Figgers – It's done.

D. Butler – Um-hum. I saw that. You mentioned that.

D. Figgers – Okay.

D. Butler – About the parking signs. Are those up yet, or?

D. Figgers – They're all up. Five subdivisions – they're all up.

D. Butler – Good.

N. Shanley – How many signs were, did that entail? There were some up already, right?

D. Figgers – Yeah, there was some up in, in Dover, no Ryan Farms, Ryan Farms.

N. Shanley – Okay. Going back to the sidewalk. Do we have to, do we want to send a letter to the homeowner's association, or what do we, how do we want to address this as a Board?

D. Figgers – Well, a homeowner's association, sometimes there isn't a whole lot of money left to do anything.

L. Bowers – The first thing to do would be to find out what the deviation is, what the deviation recommendation is, then measure it, photograph it, make a record of it, and have the Service Department send a letter to the homeowner explaining that it's considered unsafe and it needs to be repaired.

D. Figgers – Well, the homeowners have been calling the Service Department and I said I would bring it up at a meeting.

N. Shanley – That's, that's why I'm thinking that we need to inform them that this is...

L. Bowers – No. That's...

N. Shanley – Not something that we can do.

L. Bowers – That's what would need to happen.

D. Butler – My position is we're not going to pay for them.

D. Figgers – Okay.

D. Butler – We can notify them and everything else, but no, we're not going to foot the bill for sidewalks.

D. Figgers – I told the woman that notified me up in, on Honey Shade that I would let her know on Tuesday, tomorrow, because I, I said I can't give you an answer without a Board decision.

L. Bowers – Can you call the Engineer's Office and get a recommendation on the deviation, the sink, the difference in the...

D. Figgers – Sure.

L. Bowers – Where it doesn't measure up and...

D. Figgers – Well, two or three places that we know of right now, it's bad. I mean, it's really...

L. Bowers – And you need to mark it, usually with an orange x, Engineer's Office could tell you how to do that, photograph it and then prepare the letter, send it to the property owner that, if it's a deviation. If it's not a deviation then, you know, we can't require them to do anything, but if it is and it needs repaired then make sure that they understand that it's their responsibility. I'm sure Joy can help you with that. Is that okay with the Board?

N. Shanley – That's fine.

D. Figgers – I'll get together with her the next time... Wednesday?

L. Bowers – I mean the goal is to work with the property owner to fix it if it needs fixed.

D. Figgers – I understand. It does. They all do. All three places. Anything else?

N. Shanley – I don't know. I have a feeling we all received a phone call from a Ryan Road resident that was very unhappy with the chip and seal.

D. Figgers – chip/seal. Yes.

N. Shanley – Okay. Have we all talked to her?

D. Figgers – Yes.

N. Shanley – Okay.

D. Figgers – Do you want me to comment on that, or...

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler, you want to comment on it first?

D. Butler – No, let Dave talk to her.

L. Bowers – Okay.

D. Figgers – Chip and seal, you have this, you can talk to the County, you can talk to any other Township that does chip and seal, you can talk to the companies that do it, this is a typical complaint right after it's done. There's loose stone, there's a little bit of tar. Typically when you've got a road like Ryan was where you're going fifty mile an hour or the speed limit's forty-five and you're doing sixty-five, when you put a chip and seal over it, you're, it'll slow you down. It's noisy, it's, there's a little bit of stone going over. Right now, at 3:30 this afternoon, just the wind rows were noisy. Everything else is already sucked into the oil, it's not that noisy as you, both of you know, or all three of you know. What, what can be done with it? If it's in the contract they can broom it. I haven't seen the contract this year so I don't know if

it's, if they can broom it off of not. If it's not in there, they won't do it for free. I would suggest, if it was my suggestion, I would leave it alone for another two weeks, let a little bit more rain get on it, a little more sun, and it'll go away.

D. Butler – Will they stripe it then, Dave?

D. Figgers – I think it's in the contract, but I have also contacted the County...

L. Bowers – It is bid for striping.

D. Figgers – I contacted the County that if it's not done, the County, we piggy back on it with the County to get the roads done.

N. Shanley – Um-hum. When are they doing that?

D. Figgers – That I don't know.

N. Shanley – The County. I just wondered if this would be ready to stripe by the time that they're striping.

D. Figgers – It'll be ready to stripe when it...

N. Shanley – By the time the County...

D. Figgers – County's road, yes.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Mrs. Judson's here and she's raising her hand so if you want to go, Mrs. Jud..., Eleanor, you need to come up to the, you need to come up to the microphone, ma'am. Mrs. Judson?

E. Judson – (indecipherable – not at mic)

L. Bowers – Mrs. Judson?

N. Shanley – Mrs. Judson?

L. Bowers – I'm sorry.

E. Judson – I guess my question for you is you covered up a very expensive road in about four hours. When I left home, a nice asphalt road with, and I'm sure it probably needed a little something here and there, when I came home, it's, you know, tobacco road. I couldn't understand it. Why couldn't it have been topped or shored up or gone over with a little more tar, I don't know. Why did we need a whole new road? Chip and seal? We were already in the, you know, millennium, and now we've gone back to...

D. Figgers – I understand.

E. Judson – Okay. That...

D. Figgers – I understand you're...

E. Judson – And I think I talked to you.

D. Figgers – You did. I understand your concerns and your complaint and it does seem like we're going backwards. The reason for that, the County, my original intent was to do that. We went out on a road ride with, usually the, any of the Trustees that are available and the County Engineer, and the Service Department personnel go out on a road ride. I wanted to top the road, it's very expensive. So we said what can we do to give it another three to four year life. And we just talked to the County Engineer's again Friday. They said that was a good decision to top it like it is, although it's noisy and everyone complains for the first couple weeks, but in today's economy, it was a good decision. To top it with black top like you're used to, is about four to five times the money and it's just, it's, you're just getting more road life for a whole lot less money. Eventually it will go back to hard surface, yeah. But, money is so tight right now, we're just trying to stretch the dollar and that was, rather than let the road completely crumble, and the edges were started to crack and it, at some time you have to say, you've got to do some maintenance on the road. So to maintain the road, that's what we did. We chip and sealed it.

E. Judson – Did they roll it?

N. Shanley – They don't roll it.

D. Figgers – No, they didn't, they didn't roll, you don't...yeah, it only takes four or five hours to do the whole road. It's just spraying, they spray oil on it and then lay the stone. But it's, if you'll notice though, even from 162 to your house, the tracks where your car is, it's starting to pack down and it will, you've just got to give it time.

E. Judson – It's in my garage.

D. Figgers – I hear.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler, did you want to comment at all?

D. Butler – No.

L. Bowers – I wanted to say this because I did talk to Mrs. Judson and when I left, nice black pavement. And I was out on that road ride and we did the discussion on the chip and seal. A microfiber or the same kind of rigid pavement that we put down before is \$85,000.00 a mile and as opposed to chip and seal which is \$15,000.00 a mile. Real big difference. And the County Engineer explained to us that we did need to seal it because Ryan was chipping down the center and breaking off and the sides and if we let that go, roads deteriorate from the bottom up, not from the top down. And we were going to lose, you know, the good quality of life of that road. He also explained to us that the chip and seal would push in and move around those cracks and when we do put the second hard coat on it, it will be a much stronger base than we even have now and be able to continue that and those ruts and things won't come through.

Like right now, with that first coat, a lot of people don't realize but we have crossovers every so often. In fact, I recently made a comment that I thought we had about a thousand that our Service Department's responsible for. Not quite that bad, about seven hundred. So there's a lot of them. And if you go down Ryan you can actually see them. This second hard coat, according to the County Engineer, should alleviate most of that and this chip and seal is part of that process. But I really did think it was going to be similar material myself. I was very surprised. And fortunately Dave and I had a meeting scheduled with the County Engineer, our representative, to work on road inventory on Friday. So he explained to me that no, that's what it was supposed to look like and it'll settle down and it'll be striped in a few weeks and it'll get better. Cause I was shocked too, so I certainly understand that. But, still think it's a good decision. Anything else, David?

D. Figgers – No, I...

L. Bowers – Anything else, Don?

D. Figgers – If there's nothing else, I'm leaving.

L. Bowers – Okay. I just wanted to mention that Dave and I did work on the road inventory with Fred on Friday and we reviewed all of our roads that weren't on there. I still don't quite understand that, but we put together a spreadsheet and now Dave is going through it and adding the upgrades that we've put on, the pavement type, the measurement, he'll finish that up, we'll send it up to Fred, they'll input it into their system and send it to ODOT and we'll be done.

D. Figgers – Correct.

N. Shanley – Thank you, Dave.

D. Figgers – It's my wife's birthday and I got people in from out of town and I won't...

N. Shanley – Go.

D. Figgers – I won't get dinner tomorrow if I stay here too long.

N. Shanley – Go.

L. Bowers – Mrs. Heiszek's got her hand up. Mrs. Heiszek? David, sorry. Mrs. Heiszek has a question.

S. Heiszek – Very brief. Are there replacement flags for the poles? Because the one across from Tree Masters is really torn, so...

D. Figgers – We would've like to taken them down sooner, unfortunately we, unfortunately that didn't happen. There are replacement flags. They will be, as soon as we get the lanyard in they will be coming down till next holiday.

S. Heiszek – Okay. Good. Like I said, that one is just, I just noticed the other day it's torn, so.

D. Figgers – There’s no sense leaving them up. They just get, that’s what happens, and we’d like to get them down.

S. Heiszek – Okay. Thank you.

L. Bowers – Thanks.

N. Shanley – Thanks, David.

L. Bowers – Good night, David. Tell your wife “Happy Birthday” please.

Fire –

L. Bowers – Fire. Mrs. Shanley.

N. Shanley – Okay. I actually have a couple of things that are not on the agenda. Did we get a call report?

L. Bowers – Um-hum.

N. Shanley – Nope.

L. Bowers – Did we? Yes? No?

J. Hall – Sorry, my bad.

N. Shanley – Okay. That’s okay. I did ask Jeff and or Brad, well actually Jeff, to be prepared to talk a little bit about what they do with daytime staffing and how they organize their duties and how they instruct the daytime staffing personnel as to what they should be doing that day. And the reason I’m doing that is so that we gain a better understanding but also because according to our personnel handbook, we should be evaluating our supervisory employees twice a year. So ideally we should have done Jeff back in June but we did not and I would like to ask for my fellow Trustees to take the non-exempt personnel performance evaluation form that I handed out at the last meeting and that we individually evaluate Jeff and then come together so that these can be combined into one evaluation. But Jeff, if you would like to take the floor and talk a little bit about how you’ve organized that whole process.

J. Hall – Okay, per Trustee Shanley’s request, and I do have two copies if anybody from the public would like to see them as well. In front you have a calendar as well as a large packet. In the, this is our daytime staffing scheduling and it actually works the same as our night scheduling. I just printed off one of the daytime. But essentially, it’s, you sign up online, through Code Scheduling, and it takes and fills it in, it goes by seniority as well as to make sure we have two EMS personnel available to staff that day as well. Just looking through this month, I’m happy to report that out of twenty, twenty-two days, cause this only counts Monday through Friday for the daytime, out of the twenty-two days, we only have one full day we did not have ALS, which is Advanced Life Support, but we do have a basic truck, we could still get an ambulance there, then two other days is only staffed half by ALS, but the rest of the days are staffed by ALS personnel, which is again, Advanced Life Support. That’s one of the great services to have is ALS, that includes giving medications, etc., so we are fortunate enough to

have a great staff of ALS personnel as well as the basics. The, the second, the whole packet that you have in front of you, that's our daily log. This is what they enter into Fire Programs, everything that was done that day. And you'll see there's actually nighttime stuff, this includes the whole day, so tonight we'll have whatever occurred today, daytime staffing, as well as the training tonight will be all in the daily log. But it'll be broke down as far as which station they were at, if it was day shift, night shift, if it was training, if it was an EMS run, etcetera. And again, this is up, this is just this month up till today's date. And it includes, again, you can go through and see everything that was done each day. How that's communicated to these people is myself, Chief Winter, any of the captains or officers that aren't working, all they do is send an email to the shift supervisor who is the most senior person working that day and we give him that assignment. If there's something that specifically needs done or I have called, a split second, and totally changed their whole day because something else needs done that's more, takes more precedence over something else, so that's how all this is done and I hope this helps answer any questions that any of the Trustees have or anybody from the public might have. But this is...

N. Shanley- Are you still using, Jeff, the dry erase placards that you do for each shift?

J. Hall – Yes.

N. Shanley – Okay.

J. Hall – And anything that is on that board, at each station we have a dry erase board that they write up there, so anybody can walk in and see what's done, but at the end of the day they are responsible, that shift supervisor's responsible to put it in the computer, so we have...

N. Shanley – And everything's listing on that board?

J. Hall – Correct.

N. Shanley – For that day. Okay.

J. Hall – Then it's erased, like today they were at this station, it's on that board until Wednesday when they come back to this station, cause tomorrow they'll be at station two, so, and there are certain days, typically the odd days are here at this station, even days are down at station two but there has been times where somebody could be driving by and like, gosh, I haven't seen them there in like three days, that's cause they're doing another assignment down at the other station trying to finish up hose testing or something like that, so that's how that gets moved around. And it's communicated onto these forms as well.

L. Bowers – Thank you.

N. Shanley – Thank you, Jeff.

L. Bowers – Questions? Anything else?

J. Hall – I do have two other things as well. And I apologize, I have two applicants this evening that I am requesting to hire pending a background investigation and both applicants are

already trained. The one is a level two firefighter, which is the professional level. And he's currently going through his EMT basic. The other one is a level one firefighter, which is kind of middle of the road, hasn't gone to that next step, but he is a paramedic and that is the highest level in EMS certification that you can obtain, so they have sat through the hiring committee which consists of, I believe, four to five, depends on they might not all be there, but they go through a lengthy interview. Once, if it's recommended, it comes to the officers, the line officers, which is the lieutenants and captains, if they feel it's a good applicant, then myself and Chief Winter meet with them for the final and then it comes here for your approval.

N. Shanley – So this will be pending favorable background?

J. Hall – Yes. (Applications reviewed by Trustees)

N. Shanley – You want to look at the other one?

D. Butler – Yeah, please.

N. Shanley – Okay.

J. Hall – If I may, Trustee Butler, while you're looking at that I'd just, one other thing that I had for this evening is, and I don't know when the last time it's been done, not since I've been in this position but, currently I don't know if we have adopted the current version of the Ohio Fire Code, which is the 2007 edition, but that is our, the current fire code. I'm asking the Trustees to adopt this and the reason for it is this gives us as the fire inspectors that go into businesses, that conduct these inspections to make sure that we can enforce everything that's needed. And we're kind of dealing with a business right now that needs some attention and we just want to make sure we have this in place by the Board. It's not something that would need to go to the Prosecutor's Office or anything, it's the fire code.

N. Shanley – It's the 2007?

J. Hall – That's the most current edition that's out.

N. Shanley – And what is the formal title of it?

J. Hall – Ohio Fire Code. That's the most current version that's in place right now.

N. Shanley – And you need for us to formally adopt it?

J. Hall – Yes.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Been awhile since we've done that.

J. Hall – Yes. I do not know when the last time was.

N. Shanley – Actually, I need that back to do the...

L. Bowers – We actually have adopted the Fire Code, we just need to update it to the current version.

J. Hall – Okay. Yeah, I don't know when the last time that was but I do know that 2007 is the most current edition in place.

N. Shanley – Has everybody had a chance to look these over?

D. Butler – Yes.

N. Shanley – Any questions?

L. Bowers – Uh-uh.

N. Shanley – Okay. I'd like to make a motion that we approve the hiring of Alejandro or Alex Ortega and Mike Carson, pending a satisfactory background investigation.

L. Bowers – Second. Any discussion? All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

N. Shanley – I would also like to make a motion that we formally adopt the 2007 Ohio Fire Code for Lafayette Township.

L. Bowers – Second. Any questions? All in favor, aye.

N. Shanley, D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – Anything else, Mrs. Shanley?

N. Shanley – Yup. Does everyone have a copy of the performance evaluation that I passed around at the last meeting? If not I can email this to each of you. Okay.

L. Bowers – Make sure you send one to Joy though so she's got it in her computer.

L. Bowers – Put it in forms.

D. Butler – You have it on the web?

N. Shanley – I have, I can send it by email. Okay. And do we want to complete those and send them back to me and I can average them or how do we want to proceed? Do we want to do this in executive session?

L. Bowers – We can send them back to you and you can average them, I don't care.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Fine with that. Are you?

D. Butler – What's that?

L. Bowers – Send them back to Nanci and average them?

D. Butler – Yes.

N. Shanley – And the last thing we have is a certificate of appreciation for Patty Garver and Steve Garver for their many years, they're individual certificates for their many years of service, giving of their time and talent, serving their community as dedicated members of the Lafayette Township Volunteer Fire and Rescue Department on behalf of the Board of Trustees.

L. Bowers – Anything else?

N. Shanley – Nope.

L. Bowers –Jeff?

J. Hall – Nope.

L. Bowers –Thank you.

J. Hall – Thank you.

Police –

L. Bowers – Police. We started, I'm gonna do a budget report. We started 2010 with \$109,078.00 in carryover. And using the most current Estimated Resources Certificate, taking off the first six months of business for 2010, even with purchasing a cruiser, the build out, the striping, and the updating, and I used the worse end figures, our estimated carryover to 2011 is still \$94,000.00 and we're \$9,000.00 under budget, so if our under budget holds, we'll actually have a bigger carryover going into 2011, which is very encouraging given number one, the economy and the fact that we've increased hours and had to buy a cruiser. I'll give each Board member, I know you get the monthly reports, but that's the calculation for the six months and I've broken it down to salaries and fuel and miscellaneous so that we can watch vehicle expenses and so on and so forth. Nanci got a second quote from State Wide and this is why I ran home real quick. I had done this today. I sent both of you an email but I didn't get it until around 3:00 today and I don't think either one of you have checked because I hadn't gotten a response, but Deputy Cartwright took a look at the quote from State Wide cause it raised some questions in my mind and he felt that since some of the equipment was not the same, that he wanted to take that back to Hall's and make sure we were comparing apples to apples. A couple of things stand out. What I've given each member of the Board is Hall's original estimate, State Wide's estimate, and Hall's alternative quality estimate and as you can see, Hall's doesn't really back down much. There's some different equipment requiring some different things. The difference between Hall's quotes and State Wide is about \$435.00 either way you go. State Wide's about \$435.00 better but the concern is, is that Hall is not willing to sacrifice quality on some of those items because they give a lifetime warranty on the work that they provide. Two of the deputies have explained to me that they've already had to take

equipment back and there's been no questions asked, they just fix it and take care of it. One was a light bar that was actually out of warranty but they still fixed it with things that they had. It's unknown to me if State Wide takes into consideration that Hall's quote also includes the inspection and reinstall of the salvage equipment. And the equipment that we salvaged off of the old vehicles is a flashlight mount, the radios, light bar from unit eleven, rear deck flashers, siren and light control box, shotgun mount, radar and mounting bracket and speakers. And those all have to come off of the old vehicles and be put on the new. I specifically asked Deputy Cartwright if State Wide had physically looked at those vehicles and he said they did not. He worked with them and gave them the information. The other thing is both quotes include, because Nanci had made a comment that she was concerned about some of the things that were not being reused, both of those quotes include new cabling and new wiring and what's been explained to me is if you, you can reuse that. Whether it's the Charger or if we had purchased a Crown Vic you can reuse it. But by the time they put them in once, pull them out, put them in again, it compromises the integrity and for a couple of hundred dollars, that's a liability that we're asking for because those wires are not as reliable as when they were first installed. One of the other items, talking about what could and could not be swapped off of the Crown Vic to the Charger, the items that we're not reusing that may have been able to used on a Crown Vic, and there's opinions that differ, but if we could have, the cage would be one and the low end of that estimate is \$445.00, the high end is \$499.00. The push bumpers on there, but we don't have a push bumper, we never had push bumpers on our old cars, this is, whether it could be swapped or not doesn't matter because we never had one. We don't have one to swap. It was explained to me that the reason they're recommending this is because quite a few of the repairs that we've had to do because of deer and car collisions we might not have had that damage if we had the push bumper. That's just one of the issues. There's a couple of things that they talked about. Nanci, I think you talked to him too. He might have shared that with you. The console, the low end is \$240.00, the high end is \$281.00. That may have been able to be swapped.

N. Shanley – It would, it would have been actually.

L. Bowers – Okay. And the, well, like I said, the opinions differ, but, you know, I've got to go on what they say. But at the end of the day, the Crown Vic, even, even if with an up charge of things that were not swappable, let's say they all weren't, at \$1,045.00, the Charger invoice is still less expensive and it's less expensive to maintain, so I'm still comfortable we made a good decision there. The other thing that I want to ask about, State Wide doesn't talk about a work warranty. Is there a work warranty?

N. Shanley – There is a work warranty.

L. Bowers – For the life of the cruiser?

N. Shanley – I don't know if it's for the life of the cruiser. We can certainly ask Tom. They do work for the City of Medina, The City of Cleveland, The City of Columbus and they stand behind their work.

L. Bowers – I understand that.

N. Shanley – I will say this, you made mention that he had not seen the vehicles, Deputy Cart...or yeah, Deputy Cartwright did email all of us that he had met with Tom at the Sheriff's Department and they had reviewed both the new vehicle and the Crown Vic.

L. Bowers – Right.

N. Shanley – And looked at everything, so...

L. Bowers – They did do that.

N. Shanley – Yes, he did physically see the vehicle.

L. Bowers – Actually, I asked Jim that, he said no he didn't. He met with him, he went over the quotes, but they did not physically look at the cars. That's what Jim told me.

N. Shanley – Okay, that's not what Mr. Cartwright wrote in his email to us all.

L. Bowers – Also, I understand from Deputy Cartwright if we approve State Wide, the vehicle has to go to Van Wert, which is down by Cincinnati. That will require a transport and a cost and that is not included, nor is the teardown and inspection for the reinstall of the salvaged items.

N. Shanley – I'm seeing, just, we originally had a rear prisoner seat in the Hall's quote. I now see it only in the State Wide column.

L. Bowers – No. It's in there, it's just, if you, if you look right underneath it, the Pro Guard Transport seat.

N. Shanley – Transport seat. Okay.

L. Bowers – He found it from a different supplier at a little less money but he does have, he has no idea what, what State Wide is quoting. Pro Guard Transport Seat is the quality level that the Sheriff's Department uses.

N. Shanley – They do a lot of work, by the way, State Wide and Hall's, Hall's gets much of its equipment from State Wide, so a lot of this is probably cheaper in some cases because State Wide has a volume of it on hand and may be able to do it for less, as far as selling it, but...

L. Bowers – With the questions that I still have in my mind, which is why I did this spreadsheet, cause it's hard, you know, you're getting all this information and it's hard to, hard to assimilate all that information, so I put it in a spreadsheet so we could see what the differences are and where they are and I've talked to the officers and I've talked to them about the follow up from both of these companies. They have good experience with both of these companies. And the fact that the vehicle would have to be taken to Van Wert as opposed to Akron, I think that's, that's a big question mark, the warranty for the life of the vehicle is a question mark for me, and the quality of the, of the equipment. I just, you know, this vehicles been sitting for how long since we've gotten it now? I just want to get it done. We're talking a difference of \$435.00 and I think we need to get it done as opposed to wait, you know, another

month to ask more questions. We're using the Sheriff's cars right now. And we have been for many months.

N. Shanley – I'm just doing some math cause I'm not getting the same totals.

L. Bowers – That's fine. Actually, when you told us to check your math, cause we thought it was a thousand dollars difference, Joy checked it first and then I checked it and we came up with the same numbers.

N. Shanley – Okay. So bottom line, it's a difference of \$500.00, roughly a little under \$500.00, right?

L. Bowers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – That's what I'm seeing here.

L. Bowers – Well, with, if you go with Hall's first estimate, the difference is \$436.56, and if you go with their second estimate where they are willing to use different equipment, and like I said, their rationale behind that is because of their lifetime warranty, is \$431.72. That's a difference of \$5.00 for Hall's.

N. Shanley – How quickly can Hall's get it done?

L. Bowers – They can, they're waiting. They can take it right now.

N. Shanley – Do they have, I mean, how soon before they can get stuff.

L. Bowers – It would be transported tomorrow and...

N. Shanley – Do we have it...

L. Bowers – They'll gut them.

N. Shanley – Do we have an estimated turnaround time?

L. Bowers – I do not. I could go back through all of these emails. I'm sure it's in there somewhere but I do not. I know that B & B is waiting on the striping and decaling. The car's still in the impound lot.

N. Shanley – Does the striping have anything to do with the decision we're making here?

L. Bowers – Yes. But it will be a separate decision.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – I mean, the first thing we need to do is, you know, discuss the build out.

N. Shanley – Okay. Well, my concern is turn, at four hundred and thirty odd dollars difference, my concern would be the turn around. I do know that Tom White from State Wide was honest enough to tell me that they're busy and their turn around's probably going to be about four weeks. If Hall's can do it in less than that I don't have any problem going with Hall.

L. Bowers – Mr. Butler? (no response heard) Then I would make a motion that we approve the build out with Hall's and I'd like to leave the decision between the two estimates up to the experts at the Sheriff's Department which way they think we should go, it's a difference of \$5.00, so is that alright with the Board? Is there a second on the motion?

N. Shanley – I will second.

L. Bowers- Moved by Mrs. Bowers, seconded by Mrs. Shanley. Any discussion?

N. Shanley – I have a question that just occurred to me.

L. Bowers – Sure.

N. Shanley – Other than the front, the push bars, is there anything we're putting on these that we didn't have previously in our cruisers that they've...patrol the Township with?

L. Bowers – Not to my knowledge. The push bumper jumped out at me when I looked at the difference in the two, in the two estimates and I called Jim and asked him.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Because I, I didn't understand that there was an up charge for one that was aluminum as opposed to steel and I've actually downloaded all of the equipment and all the prices and I asked him what we had before and he said you didn't.

N. Shanley – Did we have secure idle before?

L. Bowers – I understand we did. I, I, he said we're just redoing the same car. I, you know, I can't guarantee...

N. Shanley – Well,...

L. Bowers – Every bit of it, I don't know.

N. Shanley – We may not have because in the Crown Vic's you could leave it running and lock the door but in the Chargers you can't without having a secure idle. But that's neither here nor there because we have the Charger, so, okay.

L. Bowers – This is, you know, they, this is how they build out their cars, they know what they need, I got to rely on that information. Okay. All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. Now we still have two other decisions to make. The striping and decaling at B & B, they cannot do that until we authorize that. That's who does the Sheriff's decaling and that's who has their decals. Questions? Concerns?

N. Shanley – No. Are they cheaper, the same, more, less than what we've used in the past?

L. Bowers – We had it done for just a little less money somewhere else the last time not knowing that the Sheriff did that and we didn't have a real good experience with that.

D. Butler – What are you talking about decaling cost wise?

L. Bowers - \$660.00.

N. Shanley - \$660.00.

L. Bowers – And that includes the striping, the decaling and all of the application, the materials. And we did it for a little less than that before when we had it done somewhere else, when we first did the conversion, but they had folds in them and lifted and didn't work out so well.

D. Butler – May I add for those people who are interested, I don't think it's been mentioned, we're talking about \$5,000.00 here with the build out and everything on top of the price for the car.

L. Bowers – Well, we're gonna get, they're gonna get a real good picture of that here in a second because that's my last motion I got to get to, so, any questions on the striping and decaling, Don?

N. Shanley – Uh-uh.

L. Bowers – Nanci?

D. Butler – Nope.

N. Shanley – Nope.

L. Bowers – I would move that we approve the striping and decaling not to exceed \$660.00. All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, L. Bowers, D. Butler – Aye.

L. Bowers – The next decision is, Mr. Hatten as you know as recommended a **new laptop** saying that the old ones don't communicate properly with the new equipment and that's \$779.00. That also includes a \$60.00 item but Deputy Clark, Cartwright doesn't think we're going to need that. Questions?

N. Shanley – What was the item?

L. Bowers – Computer laptop. A different laptop computer.

N. Shanley – No, no, no. What is not needed that was quoted?

L. Bowers – Some kind of a connection. It was on the original quote if you look back at, if you look back at the package I put in your boxes back on the 24th of June. I'll have to go through and see what it is. Because they used the quote that they had.

N. Shanley – I see what it is. It's \$60.00. It doesn't really say what it is. Just an SVN3Y.

L. Bowers – Whatever that is.

N. Shanley – DOP.

L. Bowers – He said we don't need it.

N. Shanley- Okay.

L. Bowers – So. Discussion, Mr. Butler? Is there a motion?

D. Butler – So what you're saying is total now is about a little over \$6,000.00.

L. Bowers – We're getting there.

D. Butler – Okay. We're above it.

L. Bowers – It's \$6,381.00 to be exact. **I will move to approve.** Is there a second?

D. Butler – I'll second.

N. Shanley – I'll second.

L. Bowers – Moved by Mrs. Bowers, seconded by Mr. Butler to approve the laptop. All in favor, aye?

N. Shanley, D. Butler, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – Opposed, same sign. Now I need approval from the Board of Trustees to sign the document that shuts down the Verizon connection to the existing laptop.

N. Shanley – **I will move that we shut down the Verizon connection that is currently connected to our laptop that we are taking out of service.**

L. Bowers – **And I am authorized to sign that?**

N. Shanley – **Yes you are.**

L. Bowers – **And I will second.** All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. Okay. The cruiser build. The invoice on the cruiser was \$20,271.00. The high estimate for the build out at Hall is \$4,921.00, striping and detailing is \$660.00, a new laptop computer rounded at \$800.00, making the add-ons, \$6,381.00. That would have been \$1,045.00 less expensive if we had gone with the Crown Victoria that was about \$4,000.00 more. Actually, I did find a white one for only \$1,300.00 more but we'd a had to paint it. **So that means we need to do an appropriation of \$26,700.00 to the Sheriff's contract fund in order to pay for the new vehicle and all of its requirements. And I will make that motion.**

N. Shanley – **Before I second** that, I will say that I would, before we put a number on the record as to what we would've been paying with the Crown Vic, I, it doesn't matter because we have the Dodge at the end of the day...

L. Bowers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – But if we purchase a new vehicle, there seemed to be different pieces of information floating around out there. I tend to trust the people who sell Fords and the fact that Ford Motor Company even for the Taurus, that it is going to be introducing as their new police interceptor, they are making many of these things interchangeable because it's such a high cost. So I'm not really sure that the thousand and whatever is the correct figure but it really doesn't matter because we have the...

L. Bowers – It's just the estimates that I had, Nanci, and that's all I could go off of was the estimates that I had. I mean, we've...

N. Shanley – At the end of the day we have the Ford Charger, we move forward with it. The next time that comes...

L. Bowers – Here's my research. I think I've done as much as I can do.

N. Shanley – Let's just say the figures have been different depending on who we've talked to so we'll just, I think we just let it go at that and we have the car that we have and we just do the best with it.

L. Bowers – Gonna be a nice car.

N. Shanley – We're there.

L. Bowers – All in favor, aye? Actually, you know, I think that \$26,700.00 for a new police car is probably a pretty good price so, based on what I hear anyway. All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. I think that's got it all done. Okay, zoning.

N. Shanley – Wait, I have a question about police.

D. Butler – First (indecipherable)...

N. Shanley – Oh, I'm sorry.

L. Bowers – No, go ahead.

D. Butler – I have to ask. Who are we buying uniforms for?

L. Bowers – You have to tell me what you're referring to.

D. Butler – It says right here on the service invoice from the Sheriff's department, we have \$2,600.00 for uniforms.

L. Bowers – If you'll go back and look in our contract, one of the things that we do pay is the uniform allowance that they do every year for our full time officers and only our full time officers.

D. Butler – We have one full time officer.

L. Bowers – We have two. That's what we approved. We have two.

N. Shanley – We have Brooks, and who's the other one?

J. Turpin – Gerard.

L. Bowers – Can't think of his name. Gerard? Is that what it is?

J. Turpin – That's what I heard in the minutes when I was ...

L. Bowers – Yeah, I think so. Yes. We approved two full time officers and then we approved a block of hours for the part times. And we approved, I believe, six of those.

D. Butler – I understand that. I'm asking about the uniforms.

L. Bowers – Yeah, that's contractual.

D. Butler – Who are we buying uniforms for?

L. Bowers – Our full time officers.

D. Butler - \$1,300.00 a piece?

L. Bowers – Whatever their contract amount is.

N. Shanley – Well, we're buying them for two officers as opposed to three.

L. Bowers – Right.

N. Shanley – So...

L. Bowers – It's less.

N. Shanley – It's less than it has been?

L. Bowers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – It's at \$1,300.00 per?

L. Bowers – Whatever it is per.

N. Shanley – I think.

L. Bowers – You know, I actually went through and compared where we're at, close of business on June, the end of June, with close of business for 2009 and we're in real good shape. It's working out well and we're getting better hours and, you know, going to the more part-time and less full time has been beneficial.

N. Shanley – As you speak to them, would, is it possible, I mentioned this to Sergeant Cartwright. It would be nice if the Trustees knew who were out there patrolling for us and I've really only met...

L. Bowers – Actually, I think they've stopped and tried to talk to you and they did, were able to make contact with you, weren't they Don?

D. Butler – No.

L. Bowers – Yeah, sorry about that. I know they've tried. Cause I've asked them to do that since our last meeting. And also, just for information purposes, I have started talking to them and getting information on what some of the primary calls have been and been sending it to the Post, so you might notice that we have a Lafayette Police Blotter now. Which I think is kind of important actually. I have noticed a very disturbing trend, not just in our Township, but I think our residents need to know, probably due to the economy, a lot of home invasions. I mean, we had a home invasion in our Township in the middle of the day; a single woman home by herself. We've had burglaries; we're having thefts, from garages and properties. We had a sexual predator incident last month where we were able to get information out to the neighbors very quickly to see if there had been other incidents. So there's a lot going on out there and I appreciate the Post, by the way, for putting that in. It's good information for our residents to have. Reminds them to close their garage doors.

D. Butler – Again, can we get a hold of who's on which shift?

L. Bowers – I will send Sergeant Cartwright a message when I get home. Actually, you did get the shift. You did get the shift agendas, if ...

D. Butler – I would like, no, it was a generalize...how they come in, the times and everything that people are working.

L. Bowers – Don, if you want to get that, I suggest that you get that specifically from the officers. You've got their contact information.

D. Butler – No, I don't. Never been given their contact information.

L. Bowers – I will give it to you again.

D. Butler – No, you never gave it to me the first time.

L. Bowers – The reason that I suggested before that you contact them yourself is I don't know that we want to make a public record of when they're there and not. So I will send you that information.

D. Butler – Thank you.

L. Bowers – Mrs. Shanley, you have it, correct? The contact information?

N. Shanley – I do. And I talked to, I talked to Serg...because Sergeant Sanford is out on sick leave, I did talk to Sergeant Cartwright about who was working when and he did tell me right now it's sort of catches-catch-can because they've got a lot of people on vacation. So they're kind of scrambling to get all this stuff covered. With that, I will just say this. This is just for the future, just something to think about maybe in a couple of months to revisit how we're feeling about the arrangement that we've made for all the part-time hours and maybe reassess how we feel about that versus having three dedicated officers maybe. I'd like to just maybe put that in a future agenda to just talk about.

L. Bowers – We can do that. We can reevaluate it. Make a note to send docs to Don. Okay. We ready to move onto Zoning now?

N. Shanley – Yup.

Zoning –

L. Bowers – Zoning. Just wanted to note that end of June we have had permits issued for valuations estimated at \$102,085,350.00 increased values in the Township and \$6,796.32 in fees have been collected. And Mrs. Strogin and I had a nice conversation with the resident down on Lion's Park and think he's gotten rid of about half those cars now. He's working on it.

A. Strogin – Well, in reference to the dollar valuation, if you really look at that versus the number from last year, it's almost identical at 50%. We're practically, we are at the same spot at the end of six months. There's been a lot of activity. Not so much in houses but everybody's remodeling, putting in pools and barns and sheds and all that good stuff. Lion's Park, I did go down there tonight on the way to the meeting just to make sure and I was extremely pleasantly surprised. I mean, I thought I was at the wrong place. You could see the grass, it was cut, it wasn't cluttered with cars and he has made a very substantial improvement.

The cars he does have on the property that you can see do have valid license plates so he's entitled to have them there. Only two people live there, I don't know why they need five cars, but that's their prerogative. So that one at this point in time is closed as far as I'm concerned. I did ask him to do his best to maintain the quality that he has brought it up to and not to slide back so we'll see how long that lasts.

L. Bowers – He was very cooperative when we spoke to him. The last thing we want to do is to take a resident to court if we don't have to.

A. Strogin – Well, you know, well, we won't even go into a lot of that.

L. Bowers – Yeah.

A. Strogin – But...

L. Bowers – Been a long time coming. It's done.

A. Strogin – Yeah, it's done. It looks good and if he's smart he'll just maintain what he has there and we'll be in good shape.

N. Shanley – Alliss, I have a question.

A. Strogin – Sure.

N. Shanley – Is there current plates, I mean current tags...

A. Strogin – Yes.

N. Shanley – Or just a plate?

A. Strogin – No, no. Not a plate.

N. Shanley – Current tags?

A. Strogin – Current plate.

N. Shanley – Okay. Good.

A. Strogin – A plate with current tags, okay?

N. Shanley – Thanks.

A. Strogin – Cause there's plates on vehicles that were, you know, issued in '97 and you know, '82, no. Current, active, real plates. They've got to be drivable, etcetera. Okay. And the trailers over on Spencer Lake Road, I know the lady sent an email saying they were all gone.

L. Bowers – Two back.

A. Strogin – I know there's two back. And those two are the, are owned by them. I almost sent you an email saying don't get too excited that they're all gone because what they told me was last weekend they were all going to a campground and having a whole lot of fun meeting up with other people so that they would all be gone and the only ones that would come back are the two that they own, so they have titles on those two and they have the right to have those two on the property. So they did tell me that on, occasionally on a weekend some of them will come in Friday night and leave Sunday. But they won't be staying there continually like they had in the past, so I think that brings them into compliance. So...

N. Shanley – Can they be hooked up to utilities if they own them? In other words, can they...

A. Strogin – Well, hooked up to...

N. Shanley – be hooked up to water, they could be hooked up to the electric.

A. Strogin – That's a garden hose and an extension cord so yeah, you know.

N. Shanley – Yeah, they can.

A. Strogin – And that, anyone on anybody's personal property can have that. You don't want anybody living in them.

N. Shanley – That was why I was asking.

A. Strogin – Yeah, no.

N. Shanley – Cause at that point you kind of can.

A. Strogin – Well, that's true but they're on the property, they have the house there. What they usually hook up water and stuff to it is so that they can clean or whatever.

N. Shanley – Okay.

A. Strogin – That's, that's pretty well done. Lion's is done and I think that was about the only major issues we had. Thank God. Any question? Any questions? Okay. Thank you.

L. Bowers – When I sent out the agenda I sent the Board members **A RESOLUTION DETERMINING TO PROCEED WITH THE PROCESS NECESSARY FOR THE REMOVAL, REPAIR, OR SECURANCE OF INSECURE UNSAFE STRUCTURES.** This would be regarding the house at 5600 Lance Road and I'm not suggesting by this resolution that we're going to go in and tear down the house and take care of it. What I am suggesting is that it shows a certain level of seriousness by the Board. We have had many many complaints about the house on the corner of Egypt and Lance for a very long time. Residents are getting what amounts in my estimation to lip service and I don't mean that badly but that's just what's happening. We're looking into it, we're checking with the Fire Department, we're doing this, we're doing that, but it's just not getting done. So what I did was, this is the process that the Prosecutor's Office had sent us some time back, I think as much as two years ago. I did check with the Prosecutor's Office and had them send me all the new

forms that they had, I got them on July 12th, to make sure that the outline of how we proceed has not changed and by approving this resolution, what it would do is start the process of having the Fire Department, the Building Department or the Health Department declare that the building or the structure is insecure or unsafe, structurally defective, or unfit for human habitation and then we'll get that in writing and then a series of letters would be sent to the property owner. Jeff had indicated at one point that if the property owner filled out all the proper paperwork and paid the fees that that would be a good property to burn. Property owner has not been willing or able to do that. This might be some incentive. So, I think we need to kind of move forward and try to fix this problem. And that's why I proposed it. Questions?

N. Shanley – Did you say there's some forms or something?

L. Bowers – Only what I sent you. I sent you the tear down...

N. Shanley – Yeah, I got that.

L. Bowers – And this is what we had received a few years back...

N. Shanley – Previously. Okay.

L. Bowers – And what I did was, I called the Prosecutor's Office and had them resend me their most current outline, that's what this was.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Just to make sure they're the same.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – And they are.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – They actually sent me some other stuff but we'll discuss that in a moment. I'd like to get this one off the table first.

N. Shanley – What resolution are we? What number?

L. Bowers – Twenty?

N. Shanley – You looking for a motion?

L. Bowers – Yeah, sure.

N. Shanley – I move that we approve **RESOLUTION DETERMINING TO PROCEED WITH THE PROCESS NECESSARY FOR THE REMOVAL, REPAIR, OR SECURANCE OF INSECURE UNSAFE STRUCTURES. This is with regard to...** do we not want to list the specific property?

D. Butler – It's on there.

L. Bowers – It is in there.

N. Shanley – Ah, there it is, okay. **At 5600 Lance Road, Lafayette Township, Medina County, Ohio.**

L. Bowers – And I will second. All in...any discussion? All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – If it's alright with the Board, even though it's really not a zoning matter it kind of is. Would it be okay if Alliss and the Chief, Fire Chief and I all work together and the Health Department, whoever we need to get in and see if we can move this along? My goal would be to work with the property owner first.

N. Shanley – I don't have a problem with that. I would like to be involved though, just so, again, the learning process for me.

L. Bowers – Sure. We can let you know. The next thing that the Prosecutor's Office sent me at the same time, just wanted to make sure, I had asked them if there had been any changes on the abatement control or removal of vegetation, garbage, refuse, and other debris. Used to be that Townships really had quite limited authority but that authority has been expanded and I can complete this, this outline or just put it in your boxes, much the same as I did the one that I just sent to you. There's a series of letters and so on and so forth. Currently, we get a lot of complaints, or at least I do, about houses that have trash around them and the grass grown up, mostly gone into foreclosures and that sort of thing. And typically I've been able to go into the public records, find out who the lender is, Mrs. Judson knows how to do this too, she was a realtor, we know how to find that stuff, and call the bank and explain to them that they really didn't want to have a problem with our zoning in the event that they're trying to move this property and they really needed to get that taken care of and that's usually been enough to get them on a maintenance program. But there's so many of them out there now, the banks really aren't paying so much attention to it, so we may want to adopt a standard resolution that gives our zoning inspector the, the init...the initiative to bring it to us so that we can start the letter process. You don't have to do it, you just need to tell us when you're out there, that you're seeing it or if we get a complaint we can just automatically initiate the letter writing process. Anytime it would go beyond the letter writing process, that would take another step, but I will put these in your box so that we can discuss them at the next time we come together. I'm not asking for any kind of a decision tonight. And the, the other item is, York Township is currently looking at a housing code which the Townships also now have the authority to do in a very limited way. But it is enough that we could address those three houses on the southeast corner of Chippewa and Lake Road. You want to say it with me? (laughter) And we have had many complaints, particularly from the Village throughout the years on those houses and that's another item that I think that, you know, it just keeps getting put off and put off and we need to bite the bullet and try to do something there if we can. A housing code would give us that ability so I'd like to get what York's doing. They haven't passed theirs yet, they're still working on it. I thought instead of recreating the wheel I'd get that.

N. Shanley – Um-hum.

L. Bowers – Put it in your boxes and maybe the next time we come together we'll have something to talk about. Does that work? Don, you like that idea?

D. Butler – Fine. Fine.

L. Bowers – Okay. And also, we have not received any applications for people to serve on our Zoning Commission and we really, really, really need to appoint an alternate with the things that are coming up and going on so I have asked Karen Schoonover to discuss with her Board members, she's looking at me, with her Board members, any recommendations they may have from people that they know or have had an interest or who have been involved, maybe in the Comprehensive Planning Process or something like that to see if we can get someone who would like to serve. Okay? Anything else? Alliss? Karen? Okay. Moving right along.

Cemeteries –

L. Bowers – Cemeteries. This one's real quick and easy. I have a relocation coming out of a Cleveland cemetery into the old section, into one of the family plots. It's a burial that's been, that was done forty years ago, it's a family member they want to move here. Our records are very sketchy. It appears that there's two plots left in this old family plot that was established sometimes in the early 1900's. But before we go digging in there I'd really like to spend \$50.00 and get a prob.

N. Shanley – Sounds good.

L. Bowers – Board have any problem with me...

N. Shanley – You need a motion?

L. Bowers – Yes.

N. Shanley – I will move that we spend \$50.00 to prob, do we have a name or a...

L. Bowers – We do and I don't have it with me.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – And I'm not so sure they'd appreciate me saying it.

N. Shanley – Okay. Family plot in the old section of Waltz Cemetery...

L. Bowers – There you go.

N. Shanley – in order to determine what areas of the plot are empty.

L. Bowers – Okay. And I will second that motion. Any discussion? All in favor, aye?

D. Butler, N. Shanley, L. Bowers – Aye.

L. Bowers – Okay. And we'll, I'll just ask Shirley to take that out of the repair fund for the cemetery. It can reasonably come out of there. It's work in the cemetery. The other thing that I'd like the Board to consider is there's an insurance company called Forethought and they only work with registered cemeteries, and our Waltz is a registered cemetery. They will allow, they will sell insurance for people to prepay their opening and closing and we have had a number of people in the Township that have expressed an interest in doing that. I can't even get an application from Forethought without signing an agreement that I'm requesting that information and filling out the forms showing that we are a registered cemetery so that they can look into that. Is there any objection to me looking into that? It doesn't commit us to anything, it just gets the information to us.

N. Shanley – I have no problem with it.

L. Bowers – Don?

D. Butler – As long as there is no commitment.

L. Bowers – Then I will, then I will solicit the information from Forethought to see if, and then there would be another decision made if that's something we wanted to do. Okay. And that's it for cemetery.

Old Business:

Purchasing & Procurement Card Policies -

L. Bowers – Old business.

N. Shanley – I would ask that we table that to the next meeting. I have not had an opportunity to sit down with Shirley and go over that yet.

L. Bowers- Okay. And...good. Cause that'll move us right along.

Lake Road / Chippewa Area Land Use Study –

L. Bowers – Lake Road / Chippewa land use study. I know Don had a conversation with Patrice because he copied us on an email that she sent him. Do you have any other information, Don?

D. Butler – Information? No.

L. Bowers – Yeah, anything you want to share? Mrs. Shanley? Any questions?

D. Butler – I have some concerns to be honest with you. I think there was a need for the study. I think the need for the study was probably ten years ago. I'm a little concerned that looking at land use when we already have the Chippewa Landing's Project there, we're like working around them, we can't tell them what to do, we don't have our Comprehensive Plan done yet. I just think we're ahead of ourselves on this and I'd like to see it postponed till we get our plan

done and we find out what they're doing down there. Cause now they're in the phases rather than the whole project.

L. Bowers – Do you not have any concern that what happens in that will drive lots of other things to happen down there?

D. Butler – No.

L. Bowers – I do.

D. Butler – I don't.

L. Bowers – And also, Patrice had explained in her response to you that although the Comprehensive Plan draft sets out goals and aspirations of the community, this is an intense build out study and ...

D. Butler – I understand that.

L. Bowers – it has more to do with very specific costs of different uses and that sort of thing. Mrs. Shanley?

N. Shanley – Is, I would assume that a portion of this study would be predicated by the presumption of what we think is going into Chippewa Landing right now.

L. Bowers – Um-hum.

N. Shanley – And we're at preliminary plan stage and I'm going to assume that it's going to go forward, but if it does not, let's just say that for whatever reason this doesn't go forward and we go back to more of a residential slant to this which it used to have a lot more residential component to it, would not affect the outcome of the corridor study? Because right now the corridor study would be based on this presumption that this is going to happen in these phases. I don't so much have a, I do have a problem with the fact that we're paying more money, I still feel like the part of this that's in our Township should have been covered by the Comprehensive Plan, but I understand what Patrice is saying about bringing the different communities together that are also affected by this. But I'm actually more concerned about the fact that we might be a little ahead of ourselves. I'd like to see the Chippewa Landing thing really be more solidified and then do the corridor study based on what that's really going to end up looking like.

L. Bowers – The corridor study actually will be, from what I understand from the meeting that we attended, if Marlene is here she can jump in, is Marlene here? Is modeling of a number of scenarios, not necessarily specific to the Chippewa Landing project but there's other things going on in the other communities that are part and parcel to this, Chippewa Lake, Gloria Glens, and particularly Westfield. Some big things going on in Westfield that will affect this corridor one way or the other and that's why they wanted to get the modeling ahead. I think they discussed it pretty extensively at zoning. Karen, did you have anything you wanted to say? Yeah? Okay.

K. Schoonover – Karen Schoonover, Zoning Chair. And I, I'm glad. I wanted a chance to come up and talk about this cause our Board, I know the Zoning Commission had sent a letter to the Trustees in support of this and I agree in some way with Don that this really should have been done ten years ago. We're not a community that has in the past been very proactive. If we were, we would have already had the comp plan adopted and we, we don't, okay? And I'll talk about that in a minute. You know, if we had the comp plan adopted, you know, ten years ago, it's a possibility this Chippewa Lake development never would have occurred because that is a very environmentally sensitive area and we all know that. But that plan was not adopted. What I feel that this corridor study, and what my Board is feeling, is that it will provide us with being proactive. I know it's money, I know that money is tight. But I also think that if we can build out a couple different scenarios with this group, if we can go ahead and collaborate with the communities, then if this, let's say the Chippewa doesn't work out or it reverts to more residential area or if the opportunity to somehow put more park land in there, if we have collaborated, if we have text available, if we have it standing at the side and that happens, we can pounce and be ready. We are not going to spend years or months, like let's say the Chippewa Landing defaults in a year, three years, and we don't have any language, this would give us the opportunity to set that scenario in place. It is going to be more detailed. There are things in that area that we do need to be aware of, the recovery zone that we can use to the communities benefit. And I know it's really hard because we sit here and look at like, oh well this persons for this, or this group is for that, or that, but what we really need to look at is what's the best thing for our community. What are we going to, you know, are we going to do something that maybe will be a good thing down the road. You know, there's a lot to look at in the area, I mean, I've been through some really cute little towns, Chippewa Lake style towns, where they have flowers and they have real walk ability and people are down there using those. This is a great vision. But we have to be able to see that vision and then we have to have the, the ability to have the goals and the wherewithal to put that into place. So I guess I would just ask, you know, just think about it. You know, you don't have to make the decision. You don't have to agree with the letter that our Board came up with. But just think about that this might be a proactive thing, it might be good for all of us, for the community, and it might be good for the future. But it will take us working together and, you know, the thing to think about is can we appoint somebody, you know, does it have to be a Trustee on that land use thing? Or can it be, you know, a third party person that's not involved with that that would report back to you? I mean, I have an issue with going because they're going to have it during the day and I have to work, you know, but if there, you know, would be somebody with some zoning, architectural, soil & water, somebody who was on the comp plan committee who might be appointed by the Trustees that would be agreeable to all three of you. Would that be a possibility? So, just some things to think about.

D. Butler – Well Karen, I'm not concerned about the money. That issues never even been brought up. I'm concerned about the time. How long are we looking at to get this study done?

K. Schoonover – That's a good question, Don, because I did not ask Patrice that.

D. Butler – I would be in favor of this if we had a definite time period with forfeiture provisions. The Comprehensive Plan was supposed to be done the first of the year, they asked for a little bit more time, we said yes, now we're going past the mid-year point and we still don't have a Comprehensive Plan. I don't want this put on the back burner and we're talking about it two years from now with all the problems you pointed out. I think it needs to be done

but I want it done in a timely fashion and with a commitment in the contract that says they don't get paid till it's done and it has to be done in a certain period of time.

K. Schoonover – I think that's a very good point.

N. Shanley – Did you get the sense from all of this that this might take on a number of different potential avenues depending on what does end up, because like it or not, what happens with this large piece of land is going to be a big factor in what happens in Chippewa Lake as a whole.

K. Schoonover – Right.

N. Shanley – In that whole corridor.

K. Schoonover – That's true.

N. Shanley – Is it our understanding that this study would result in a variety of scenarios depending on what might happen? I mean, you're talking about being ready with different scenarios and ready to pounce.

K. Schoonover – Well, I think that there will be a variety of things that will, you know, that yes, that it should be a variety. And that is something that could definitely be address in like a contract. That we do want a variety of scenarios, you know, maybe one more residential, maybe one, you know, and I mean also, we have to, you know, I don't know how that works out like with, you know, Westfield and Gloria Glens, and, and how all that is. I don't know what the contract, what the initial contract looked like.

L. Bowers – Let, maybe I can help just a little bit. I've got my notes from the meeting which I did not have at the last meeting, but I brought them with me. And what they indicated the preliminary tasks would be, cause I typed a lot of this up, was prepare detailed land use, environmental and infrastructure evaluation, evaluate the new census data, evaluate the zoning requirements of each community to determine what's common to all of them and what the conflicts are at our boundaries. It would be, there would be a public participation process. The communities' visions, from our comprehensive planning processes of all four communities would also be looked at to see what's in common and what's in conflict and what needs to happen to work that together. The stake holders they've identified as Lafayette Township, Chippewa Lake Village, Gloria Glens, Westfield Township, Medina County Park District, Medina County Economic Development Corporation. Out of the entities, Lafayette Township is the largest land holder and we're the only ones who haven't agreed, so they're kind of waiting on us and I think that we owe it to our neighbors to say yes or no, get off the stick one way or the other so they can either go forward or not do it. On the technical agencies that are also involved is the Highway Engineer, the Sanitary Engineer, Emergency Management, ODOT, and the Medina County Planning Services. The service, the role of the Planning Department would be to create the maps, to combine the zoning, to establish the current land use, get more clear aerial photography, map the environmental constraints, and propose the zoning adjustments that would be necessary to achieve the goals in that specific area. I've got some other notes but I think...

K. Schoonover – But it doesn't say anything on...

L. Bowers – It says a timeline.

K. Schoonover – Right. But it doesn't say anything on there about a different, does it say different scenarios? Which is what Nanci...

L. Bowers – Well, the one thing that they talked about was modeling build outs so that if it went, if you did this, this is what you could expect to happen based on the population trends and the utilities available and so on and so forth and if you had this rule, this is what you could expect to happen. They anticipate six to nine months from the initial meeting which they had hoped would have been a few months ago. And that's, that's the notes that I have.

K. Schoonover – One of the things that I guess our Board was concerned about and I don't know as a community if, you know, my concern is that if we get a developer and since we do have the largest, I mean, I know there's a large block with the Chippewa Landing, but there are other areas that could be looked at. You know, I just think that it would be good if, if we could develop consistently through that area it would flow easier, I think, also you would probably leave yourself open to working better with larger...if somebody comes in to Westfield and wants to kind of shove something in and we're going to be effected by it but we have no say at the table, I guess I'd like, you know, I would like that not to happen. I mean, because, you know, we all know that that does happen, so.

N. Shanley – Do you have any...

D. Butler – That same thing can happen, Karen, without having the Comprehensive Plan up to date.

K. Schoonover – That is very true and I just want to...

D. Butler – Developer come in here, find some land and...

K. Schoonover – Yup.

D. Butler – We're sitting here...

K. Schoonover – With our, I know, you're right, Don, you're very right, and...

N. Shanley – Before we move to the Comprehensive Plan...

K. Schoonover – Got it.

N. Shanley – Do you have any thoughts in mind, I would, I think that your idea of having a representative to this, perhaps not a Trustee, that can bring, you know, I wish you were available because you would be ideal, I would be, I think that's a great idea, so that it's not driven by any particular Trustee...

K. Schoonover – Exactly.

N. Shanley - but by somebody who can represent, you know, what has been the steering committee, or compre...are there any thoughts to who that person might be?

K. Schoonover – Well, one of the things we could do is put a blurb out to the people who were on the steering committee of the Comprehensive Plan to see if anybody's available and would like to take on that, that role, because I mean, they're very knowledgeable about just, you know, zoning and processes and things like that, and working in a group like that.

L. Bowers – Well, and they're also open meetings and they're published meetings and if we are a party to the, to the contract, defacto, all three Trustees are there regardless of whether we physically show up or not.

K. Schoonover – That's true. That's true. I mean, if they have them at a time that I can go to, I'll definitely try to get to them, but, you know, if they have them at 2:00 in the afternoon...

N. Shanley – Do we know for a fact they're going to be during the day?

K. Schoonover – No.

N. Shanley – Okay.

K. Schoonover – But I think the initial one was, wasn't it, Marlene? Was it like...

N. Shanley – No, it was at 7:00.

K. Schoonover – Was it 3:00? Okay.

N. Shanley – 3:00? Yeah, it was later on and after it was at 7:00.

K. Schoonover – Yeah.

L. Bowers – I don't even recall.

K. Schoonover – I think you're right, Marlene. It was in the afternoon.

L. Bowers – I don't even recall.

K. Schoonover – And just to address the Comprehensive Plan, I have the implementation strategy rewrite. We had received, the Zoning Commission, a letter from Bill Thorne and he had looked over our implementation and had some concerns about how the planning department had written it so I asked them to rewrite it and like just reformat their language a bit and so I've got it. It looks, it looks good. At our next meeting on August 3rd, the next Zoning Commission meeting, we will review this implementation, do the future land use and hopefully adopt, move to adopt the plan cause we've certainly looked at it long enough. So, when is your next meeting in August?

D. Butler – 16th, I believe.

K. Schoonover – Okay.

L. Bowers – Third Monday.

K. Schoonover – So I'm, I hope to have it to you well before that time so that you'll have, you know, three weeks to take a look at that and have questions.

L. Bowers – Thanks, Karen. We still have the resolution on the land use study for Chippewa area. Do we want to entertain that or not? I would propose that we entertain it and it either flies or it doesn't, but we, we give the other communities the courtesy of letting them know where we stand.

N. Shanley – What's the likelihood that we can get some contract commitments to timelines, some of the concerns that we've expressed?

L. Bowers – We could require...

N. Shanley – I mean, I'm...

L. Bowers – We could require it with our, I mean, we could tie it to our payment. We don't, you know, if it's not in there, we don't, we don't pay. We can do that. I think we can do that with a motion.

N. Shanley – Okay.

D. Butler – What's that? Sorry.

L. Bowers – Include in the motion that our payment is subject to a finished product at a certain timeline. You know, they said six to nine months, hold them to it. We can do that.

N. Shanley – I would like to see a finished product within a timeline, I would like to see multiple possibilities explored and built out, you know, potential build outs.

L. Bowers – And we can do that.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Bowers – Put it in the motion.

N. Shanley – Then I don't have a problem with it.

L. Bowers – Then make a motion, please.

N. Shanley – I move that we support entering into contract along with the other communities involved with the Medina County Department of Planning Services to complete a corridor study for Lafayette Township, Chippewa Village, Gloria Glens, and Westfield Township, with the condition that there is a commitment to a specific time frame of ...

D. Butler – Nine months from today.

N. Shanley – Nine months to completion and ...

L. Bowers – Do you want to make it nine months from their initial meeting, nine months from...

N. Shanley – Nine months from their initial meeting and...

D. Butler – No. When's their initial meeting? May be a month or two. That's a problem. This thing gets put on the shelf, we may not see it even come off the shelf for months.

N. Shanley – I don't have a problem with when it starts, I just, once it starts that I would like to see from that time period I would like to see a commitment to a finished product.

L. Bowers- And I agree with Nanci.

D. Butler –Yeah, but if it doesn't start for three more months, we're talking a year. Six more months, we're talking...

L. Bowers – If we don't start it, it never gets done.

N. Shanley – Yeah. I guess I just don't have that much of a problem with when it starts. I just, from the moment it starts I want to know that it's going to be done by a certain time frame. **That's, and the last thing is I would like to see multiple potential build outs considered depending on what happens in that area. I would like for more than just one build out recommendation or, to take place.**

L. Bowers- Okay. And does that include an amount not to exceed \$2,500.00?

N. Shanley – In an amount not to exceed \$2,500.00.

L. Bowers – Okay. And I will second that motion. And I'm writing the conditions on the back of this so we can put together a letter for them. Any further discussion on the motion? Voting – all in favor?

L. Bowers, N. Shanley – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed?

D. Butler – Since we don't have a start date and it, I'm gonna vote no.

L. Bowers – Okay. This goes to the sign pile.

New Business:

Electric Aggregation Funds –

L. Bowers- Electric aggregation. Under new business. Nanci, you want to start with that?

N. Shanley – I originally had asked for that to be on the agenda a while back because I, it turns out that the company that is doing our new Township signs, and I don't know if everybody noticed that we have our new Township sign by the road, there's more signs coming, also does a lot of facility renovation planning and build out work. And I mentioned in passing that, you know, we had this tired looking Township Hall and they asked me to come in and look at it and they feel, I'm throwing this out but I will also throw out a different idea, they firmly believe, they're doing some work for Case Western Reserve Cafeteria and doing some major renovations there, that they could give us a very different and workable Township Hall including turning that kitchen into an office for Fire Chief and Assistant Fire Chief, giving us a kitchenette, giving us a coat closet, janitorial, I mean, they went through this very carefully, spent a lot of time. There's no obligation obviously, this is strictly speculative. They feel they could do that entire project for \$50,000.00. I wanted to throw that out as something to think about. But before we would do that, I would like to see us hold off on doing anything like that until we find out whether or not we have a grant for a new ambulance and hold this money in abeyance until we know whether we have a grant coming for an ambulance or not and if not use this as possible seed money for that.

L. Bowers – How do you think that works with the meeting that we just had at 6:00 on an evaluation of redoing all the facilities?

N. Shanley – I've thought a lot about that. I don't have a clear answer except to say that I don't know how in this economy and with money being what it is, how feasible it's going to be to come up with eight plus, you know, eight plus million dollars to do this. I would dearly love it but I don't know how realistic that is.

L. Bowers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – But it's, you know, it's certainly a good question because I've been thinking about that a lot. We probably have to just think a little bit about, and we have the time because like I say, I would like to see us just set that money aside for the time being to see if we do get a grant for an ambulance or not. Then if not, use it for a sinking fund for an ambulance along with, I was hoping, some of the money coming off of that ambulance levy, the \$13,000 plus dollars.

L. Bowers – So you're okay if we delay this conversation indefinitely?

N. Shanley - Yes, yes.

L. Bowers – You know, I think it's a nice idea but, you know, we unexpectedly received \$50,000.00 and I guess it's just my conservative nature, I think we put it away until we need something instead of making something up to use it.

N. Shanley – One thing that I feel strongly about is I'd like to see it be used for something very concrete and a major thing and not just put into a fund and used to pay bills.

L. Bowers – Like maybe an ambulance.

N. Shanley – Yeah.

L. Bowers – Yeah.

N. Shanley – And that's why I'm thinking, set it aside, if we get the grant for the ambulance, great. If, and then we can start thinking about something else to do with it. If we don't get the ambulance grant then there it is and we have \$13,000.00 from our old ambulance levy that's been collecting interest that we now find that we can use and put, possibly put that into a sinking fund along with the fifty. And then you've talked about wanting to put your hard billing and soft billing proceeds into that sinking fund for an ambulance and, I don't know. Are we tracking that, are we able to track that right now? Okay.

S. Bailey – Track what? The funds?

N. Shanley – The, what we're getting for billing. The hard billing. Okay.

L. Bowers – Oh yeah, that's easy to track.

N. Shanley – Yeah.

L. Bowers – I check it.

S. Bailey – That's the soft billing.

L. Bowers – I check it pretty regularly. You should get that information. It's interesting.

S. Bailey – Well I give Brad the report too.

N. Shanley – So with those three items, you know, with the \$50,000.00 for the time being left there, the \$13,000 plus thousand from the ambulance levy and whatever we have building up from the billing, you know, that makes us some headway toward an ambulance if we don't get the grant.

L. Bowers – Okay. Mr. Hollish, you have a question.

D. Hollish – No offense against our life safety stuff, but it seems like lately with the almost a million dollars that has just been rifled through, I don't know what we purchased, I know a new fire truck came out of that million plus dollars, million four, whatever it was sitting in an account. Soft billing, hard billing, levies, it seems like everything's being pulled towards one department any more. I mean, out of, why, why would that be? Plus the, I mean, we got a parking lot that needs dire attention. I mean, is it to the point now that we need to up our levies for fire and rescue and everything like that? Or where's all this, I guess...

L. Bowers – I think we're just being careful with what we're doing. I mean, the conversation I just heard is let's hold on to that money and not doing anything until we know what our needs are, so.

D. Hollish – Well it seems like it was going to be put into an ambulance, which should be coming out of levy money somewhere down the line already.

L. Bowers – We're gonna see...

D. Hollish – That we get over the years.

L. Bowers – We're trying to get a grant for it and we're hoping...

N. Shanley – Yeah. We're hoping for the grant.

D. Hollish – Well, I think that's great but I don't...

L. Bowers – We just want to make sure we don't short anything anywhere, we're just...

D. Hollish – At the end of the year when I pay my \$2,000.00 for property, or two, three thousand, whatever it is in property taxes, is an x amount on that dollar comes back to the fire for purchasing all new equipment, does it not?

L. Bowers – It,...

D. Hollish – Over the years? And that's not, I'm not the only taxpayer...

L. Bowers – And it is an expensive

D. Hollish – I understand.

L. Bowers - department to run,

N. Shanley – It is.

L. Bowers - there's no question about that. And I want to make sure we have the funds available without raising levies. I think that's the goal is not to raise taxes and to take care of that business. Fair statement, Nanci?

N. Shanley- If I could say, oh it is, and if I could something. I would very much love to see a Township Complex that we could be proud of, and a beautiful parking lot because this parking lot is a disaster, but we have had a lot of making up to do with the condition of our fire and rescue equipment and it has been expensive, there's no doubt about it. But I will put that ahead of the parking lot any day. Because at the end of the day somebody's life is at stake.

L. Bowers – As would I. Yeah. As would I.

N. Shanley – But, having said that I, I would, I'm not saying we definitely use that for fire but I say we set that aside in case we need it and if, I'm hoping that we get that grant and if we do then we can think about other exciting things we can do with the aggregation funds as long as they, I just again, I just don't want to see them used to just pay bills. This should be, I think

that residents did this through their vote for electrical aggregation, that it should be something concrete for them.

L. Bowers – On the corr...

D. Butler – Ah...

L. Bowers – Sorry, go ahead, Don.

D. Butler – On the non-exciting part, I would offer for consideration that we pay tribute to the ball fields, and it sounds like Chippewa Landings is pretty well backing away from anything that we had previously talked about with Lion's Park and that...

L. Bowers – Well now Don, I didn't get that impression.

D. Butler - Well, they haven't talked about it and they're giving us four tenths of an acre for fire department, which when I had discussions with them years ago they were going to build a fire department.

L. Bowers – What Mr. Sills had expressed is that when we tell him what they need they'll, they've got plenty of open space. Much more than they need. They're willing to work that out.

D. Butler – I just passed out basically, permanent restroom facilities for the ball diamonds. They're not that expensive, they last a long time, ADA capable. The parks have had them for awhile. They're at Buckeye Woods. They're all over the place. A single restroom would cost about \$14,000.00, a double about twenty-six. And I thought it'd be nice to do for the community and for the league since we maintain their fields and cut them and fence them and everything else, I would offer we may want to consider down the road doing something more permanent on the restroom facilities.

L. Bowers – Okay. Anything else? Okay. We have, I had proposed legislation to transfer the ambulance fund and that requires the Prosecutor's Office filing something and it's, it's a mechanism you have to go through. As part of that I actually pulled the old levy language to see exactly what it said, because it's an old one, predates any of us here and to my surprise, we can use it for whatever we need on rescue actually. The language was not as limited as we've all believed that it was.

S. Bailey – Still...

L. Bowers – For ambulance.

S. Bailey – For ambulance.

L. Bowers – Yeah, but ambulance and EMS.

S. Bailey – Right.

L. Bowers – So if you need to, you know, replace equipment or fix things and it can be used for all of those things. So we don't have to do that.

N. Shanley – How would we feel about just taking that \$13,000.00 or whatever and just set, leaving it, and setting it aside, making it part of a potential ambulance fund?

L. Bowers – Well, what we did is, we already authorized Mrs. Bailey to move the money back into the account and it will sit there. And it doesn't get spent until the Trustees appropriate it and spend it, so that can happen.

N. Shanley – And it's earning interest along with everything else, right?

L. Bowers – She's let...

S. Bailey – If I leave it where it's at.

L. Bowers – She's letting it earn until at such time as we tell her.

N. Shanley – So we can just leave it there, it's earning interest and then if we...

S. Bailey – Yeah.

N. Shanley – Okay.

S. Bailey – Whenever you want it, then I can just put it back into the ambulance fund.

L. Bowers – Okay. The last thing on our agenda then is, Nanci and I had both communicated that one of the things that we wanted to let our grant writer know is some of the things that we're interested in looking for grants for. I have a list. I'll go ahead and give you mine and we can add to it and we'll just get them all in the minutes and what Don has, and...the sewer and water line for, to come down from Deerview to the intersection down here, which would help our businesses and help the Township for sure. I did meet with Jim Troike on that just to make sure that that number, \$750,000.00, was still a good number. He indicated not only is that still a good number, he thinks it would come in less. He said good luck if we can find a grant. He'd help with anything he could but he just doesn't hold a lot of hope out. Permanent water lines for our emergency lines to put fire hydrants in other areas of the Township that are not hydranted because that reduces, or actually it increases our rating so that we get a lower insurance rate for our residents. So I think that's something we can look at. We actually do have mapping, I don't know whether Brad, if you and Jeff still have it in the places where it's, there is an ability to add those new hydrants. You have that mapping?

B. Winter – We do.

L. Bowers – Yeah, Deerview's one of them, I know that. A comprehensive housing improvement revolving loan fund, we have a CRA district, we may someday have another one. If we would have a revolving loan fund that was funded with seed money, sometimes the grant programs provide that so that we could do housing improvements for people who can't afford them, like furnaces, windows, roofs, those sorts of things. Clearly Fire & Rescue equipment,

that's always on top of the list. Police cars would be another thing if they're out there anywhere. And then the Lion's Park upgrade – fences, lights, restrooms, and utilities, although I believe that the Chippewa Landing developer is ready, willing, and able, the last time he and I, I mentioned it to him to sit down and talk with what the Township may want to see done there and what they may be willing to do. They have some needs too, so maybe we could work together on that and he indicated a willingness to do that. And that included restroom facilities, Don, for Lion's Park. Very good.

N. Shanley – I was going to say, I would like to see, you know, something like the permanent bathrooms that you just passed out for Lion's Park being one of the things. The complex, I mean, as, even if we just took it by pieces, to see if there's a way to get some grant money to do perhaps the Administration Building. The top of my list was the water and sewer line, that's for sure. The parking lot. Additional sirens for emergency weather. Not only police cars but police programs. We tend to think just because we're contracting with the Sheriff's Department that we shouldn't have some special police programs in our Township and I think we probably could benefit from some special programs that would be funded. I, I guess I really focused on our complex and our building and there's just, I have to believe with what Montville Township's been able to find in terms of grant money, there's got to be some money out there that we can use.

L. Bowers – Actually Nanci, I looked into theirs, cause I couldn't understand how they could get USDA and we could not, because their income qualifier is higher.

N. Shanley – Um-hum.

L. Bowers – It wasn't a grant, it was a loan. It was a low interest loan.

N. Shanley – For the three fifty?

L. Bowers – The facilities.

N. Shanley- I'm talking about the fencing.

L. Bowers – The fencing was a grant. Yeah.

N. Shanley – The thirty...yeah.

L. Bowers – The fencing was a grant.

N. Shanley – That whopper of a grant for the park fencing, that was fabulous.

L. Bowers – Yeah, that was, as I understand it that was something that Representative Bill Batchelder had written into the state budget, that's what I understand how that happened. So we need to cultivate that.

N. Shanley – Yeah, that's not...Yeah, Ron...the first conversation I ever had with Ron Bischof about grants he told me about that and he said Gatchell found that for them. So, which is how I connect with Gatchell, so.

L. Bowers – And that could be right. That’s what I heard.

N. Shanley – Don, do you have any thoughts? I’d like to put a list together and email it to Vera. That’s how I left it with her. Vera Gatchell is the...

D. Butler – I would like to see improvement in the facilities that we have. We don’t have parks and we don’t ask for money for fencing or trails. That’s all taken care of, but we do have ball diamonds. Any other wish list stuff to put another police car out, that good stuff. And even running a water line back here to their ...facility...

N. Shanley – Concession stand.

D. Butler – back here.

N. Shanley – The concession stand?

D. Butler – Yeah.

L. Bowers – I think a lot of that’s just facilities, it kind of groups it all in.

N. Shanley – Well it...

L. Bowers – Clearly that. Facilities is a big one.

N. Shanley – Lynda, if you will send me your list and I’ll add it to my list and add Don’s, I’ll send that to Vera Gatchell.

L. Bowers – Okay.

Correspondence –

L. Bowers – Correspondence. Mrs. Bailey, you had a piece of correspondence?

S. Bailey – The only thing I had was what you had marked down there and that was from the citizens group, letter to the Trustees.

L. Bowers – Okay. We have a citizens group who has sent a letter to the Trustees and I think Mr. Butler’s already responded, Mrs. Shanley said she would respond in the meeting, so how do we want to do this? You want them, you want to just respond to it, what do you want to do? We want to move on to public participation? That’s fine too. Don? Nanci?

Public Participation –

L. Bowers – Public participation. Anyone have any questions? Mrs. Pindroh.

J. Pindroh – This would have to do with the letter from the citizens group, basically explaining...my name is Jan Pindroh by the way. The Comprehensive Plan – a lot of people probably don’t even know what it is. It started January 18, 2007. The plan was done January 12th, 2010, but not adopted by the Trustees because it had to be sent to zoning, and it’s in

zoning right now. How many members signed up? There were about twenty. We got along very well, we had differences of opinion, there was no yelling, no shouting, no walking out, no nothing. Slowly dwindled down to six to eight at the very end. Unfortunately, that put a load on the rest of us who were still attending the meetings; we had to do their work along with ours. Illnesses, loss of job, businesses, job commitments, these were all the cause the residents leaving the Comprehensive Plan. It was never to be a zoning issue to be revised or rewritten. It was a SWOT analysis. Now SWOT is not an emergency where they come in with the tanks and the whole deal. This is a specific planning method to evaluate in a project or business where it needs to be improved or redesigned. It's, in a, it's a vision of where you want the Township to be in ten years. Okay – S stands for strengths. The strengths of this community: scenery, bike paths, diversity of the people, housing, farming, some of the small businesses that are here, it's an excellent school system, we're self reliant, farms and their fresh produce stands that they have available for whoever drives by or who wants to purchase from them. W – Weakness: we have school growth but we have no schools in the Township, they're all in Medina. How that happened, well it's kind of a long story, but anyways. We have an aging population. Where will they live if not at home? We have to plan senior centers for senior housing. How about the residents that are not open minded and able to look at the big picture; they're still stuck in the 1950's. We don't want anything here, we don't want any change, we want nothing. Gridlock on the roads. That was a big, big item that we talked about. Again, that kind of is involved with ODOT and of course some of the roads overlap in Medina, other communities. The zoning needs to be updated and I know that they are working on it. Another thing that was mentioned was there's apathy from the residents in this community because of the infighting among the Trustees and the nitpicking. The residents have really had it and they don't want to get involved in anything. And there is no public transportation like RTA or BART system, nothing like that. O – Opportunities: increase in business with proper zoning, basically small businesses, boutiques, not large industrial complexes like Dow Chemical or GE or whoever. T stood for threats. What about the school funding? School funding is cut, we're going to have problems, mainly with income coming in. The water and the sewer is a big problem also. No businesses will come out this way unless there is water and sewer. They're not going to be using, you know, septic or anything and they basically want city water and city sewers. And that's the end.

N. Shanley – Thank you.

J. Pindroh – I guess that kind of explains SWOT. When I heard SWOT, I went, oh my gosh, it's this emergency thing with tanks and, you know, guys with the helmets and the guns...no. That, it's just, if you want to say, an acronym, S, W, O, T analysis. So if you hear it anywhere else, you know, kind of look into it first that it's not really an emergency situation, it's just basically our vision of possible things, there's many things, I mean it's pages and pages. I just kind of condensed it for tonight on what we have been working on and working on very hard. I would hope that maybe some items somewhere down the line would be adopted or kind of maybe condensed or whatever.

L. Bowers – Thanks, Mrs. Pindroh.

J. Pindroh – For the good of the residents. Thank you.

L. Bowers – Appreciate it. Anybody else? Mrs. Gushue.

L. Gushue – Yeah, we’re...the citizens group did send you all a letter and Nanci, you indicated you had some thoughts and you wanted to respond but I don’t know why you didn’t just choose to respond, so I don’t know if you want to re...want us to read the letter into the record, or...

N. Shanley – You could.

L. Gushue – Okay.

N. Shanley – I’ve thought a lot about this since you sent the letter around and I guess the general comment that I would make is that I feel that as a Trustee I really need to represent what I feel to be the best, in the best interest of all of the residents of this Township. I don’t discount what your group would say, I value your, your input and your concerns and your thoughts, but at the end of the day, I really have to take a look at what I think to be in the best interest of all of the residents and that’s the basis on which I make my decisions. I don’t make them personal. They are not based on individuals. There was some commentary in the letter with regard to individual employees that we have, I don’t, I don’t base anything on an individual person. If it’s a policy decision that I’m expressing my opinion on, it’s based on my feeling and my best belief as to what that po...what we should be doing for that policy, not for the individuals, this is nothing personalized and I really try to stay away from personalizing things.

L. Gushue – As, can I interrupt you for a second? As a public servant, going only based on your feelings of what should happen, I don’t understand how you go about getting the opinion of the people that you’re representing because you’re opinion is only one.

N. Shanley – I’m open to phone calls, I’m open, it’s not just my opinion, it’s, I’m elected as are Lynda and Don to make what we think are the best decisions for the Township. There may not always be...

L. Gushue – Based on what?

N. Shanley – Based on our research, based on what, as you know I’m big on calling and talking to other Townships to see what works for them, how they do things, what has worked, what hasn’t, why they do things a certain way and quite often that, that’s a big reason for how I will vote on something.

L. Gushue – How do you measure the public opinion, though? Like at the, at one of the previous meetings you said you had to vote your conscious, but you discounted nineteen people’s opinion. You said that that was such a small majority.

N. Shanley – Well, nineteen of, well, and most peop...there are a lot of people that don’t come to meetings and you can’t assume that everybody that does not come to the meeting necessarily agrees with you. I can’t, it’s sort of like, I don’t know that it, that it’s responsible for me as an elected official to make a decision based on five people, ten people, fifteen people, or twenty people. If I talk to people in the community on my own and I get feedback for them in a more quiet way that counts too. And at the end of the day, I think that’s why we elect all of our, not just any elected official, is for what we believe that they’re going to do for us. And not, I don’t

expect to be calling a congressman once a month and saying, you know, I really think you should ...and very detailed. If I have a major problem with something that you're doing, I think it should be my abil...my right to let them know what I think. But at the end of the day, I've elected them to make the best decisions on my behalf that they can.

L. Gushue – Okay. I would like to read the letter so that we're all on the same page and then you really didn't respond too much except to correct us that you, the letter had come from one person to everybody.

N. Shanley – Yeah.

L. Gushue – But Mr. Butler had responded and we would like to talk to him further about his answers.

N. Shanley – Okay.

L. Gushue- Dear Lafayette Township Trustees, as a result of issues brought forward during the Lafayette Township Trustee meeting on June 21, 2010, we the Lafayette Citizens of Positive Government are requesting that steps be taken to stop the harassment and hostility we see directed toward the Lafayette Service Department employees. During that meeting, three different items were brought forward which we feel raised, rise to the level of harassment. These include Roy Delaney's request for vacation time, Dave Figger's neighbor's drainage ditch, and the practice of taking home snow plows during expected snow storms. Roy has earned his vacation time and he requested the time off in accordance with the existing employee policy manual. Yet, not only did Mr. Butler bring the request up in open meeting stating that there may be a problem with mowing, but also had sent out an email implying that if he, Roy, doesn't want to work, then maybe we, the Trustees, should find someone who will. We contend that one of the reasons the Township should maintain part-time and or PRN staff is to cover for vacation time, illness, emergencies, etcetera. We believe that the concern Mr. Butler raises about the need to have mowing uninterrupted is not a result of Mr. Delaney's request but instead a result of the short-sighted decision to eliminate the part-time staff made by the Trustees earlier this year. We suggest that Mr. Delaney receive an apology and that future requests for vacation be honored immediately unless there is a preplanned event or issue that must be addressed by the employee submitting the request. At the Trustee meeting on May 25, 2010, Mr. Figgers was questioned about the gravel he pushed into the ditch across the road from his home when plowing his driveway. His neighbor had raised concern about this issue to Ms. Shanley. You corrected me and said it was to all three Trustees.

N. Shanley – Um-hum.

L. Gushue – At that meeting the Trustees determined that this was not a Township issue and Dave was to take care of it on his own time, which he agreed to do. It is, it is our contention that as of May, this became an issue between two neighbors and the Trustees should have extricated themselves from any further involvement. For this to be brought up once again in the June meeting could be construed as harassment. We value the past policy of the Service Department taking the snow plows home when a storm is expected and believe they are using good common sense. We feel it is very important that the employees are available to begin plowing the Township roads as soon as they get to the end of their drives. In addition, they are

immediately available if needed to open a driveway or road for an emergency vehicle. We believe that the Trustees are using this issue to further erode the already low morale of the Service Department. We are concerned that these issues in combinations with others that we have witnessed in previous emails and meetings are placing our Township in a position to be successfully challenged by a hostile work environment lawsuit. We strongly encourage you to consider ways to repair your relationships with the Service Department employees as soon as possible. Continuing with status quo does not seem to bode well for the Township or the employees. Thank you for your consideration. We have faith that conversation and progress can be made at the next Trustee meeting. And it was signed by eight people. John Meliher was mistakenly left off. His name should have been put on that as well. Mr. Butler, you responded with this. Let me address the questions raised by the quote unquote committee. First of all, no one questioned anyone's right to vacation days. What was brought before the Board was, was the short notice that involved three of five working days in the middle of mowing season. One, on one suggested that the days be denied. As to the trucks being taken home, not only is there a possible legal issue involved, but also a practice issue. To the best of my knowledge, no other Township allows this practice and some have been advised by the Prosecutor's Office against it. If your argument had any validity, it would apply to the EMT's and the entire Fire Department whose availability far exceeds the Service Department's in importance. Finally, a Township using, a Township employee using Township equipment saw fit to plow gravel into a Township ditch for which the Township has the responsibility of maintaining. This is far from a neighbor problem and was not addressed for other two months after being promised to be corrected. If the committee, quote unquote, was truly interested in the betterment of the Township they would have concerns regarding the finances and the best use of taxpayer dollars. If the interests were being addressed, I would think the concern would be how sixteen weeks of wages were paid out and a month and a half later the question as to what work was performed still has not been answered. I would think the Citizens for Positive Government would be concerned as to why a Comprehensive Plan Study is now in its fifth year and a consultant was paid in full for work that obviously was not completed. I would question how a contract with Charter One could be declared void and our tax dollars still invested there and the CDs have been renewed twenty-two times over the last two and a half years. Citizens being involved is the heart of our political system but those same citizens have the responsibility of being truly and completely informed and avoiding personal agendas. I'd like to question you on, I don't know if EMT's could take ambulances home and be ready to run from home if there's more than one person. I don't know a fire truck that could be taken home, but I do know that the snow plows can be taken home and with reasonable certainty that there's going to be a storm coming that night, whereas fire engines, you don't know when a fire's coming. I mean, it's kind of ridiculous to have put that in there. The EMTs, I mean, they don't know when a heart attack's going to occur, so, I mean, clearing the road and having the guys available as soon as they get to the end of the driveway to be able to start work immediately and not have the risk of running off the roads themselves getting to work seems pretty...

D. Butler – Well, you're premise there, ma'am, is the fact that these guys would go to sleep and not be tracking what's happening, would wake up and there'd be two foot of snow in their driveway. Anyone who's responsible could get here less than ten minutes, Dave lives less than ten minutes from here. If there was going to be that kind of storm, they should be here and have the equipment ready to go. Let's go other scenarios. Storm comes through, tree falls against, across his driveway. Now what do we do? You know, the trucks are here, they're here for a reason, I don't know where your premise came from, the last meeting when that privilege

was rescinded, the only reason given was vandalism. I don't know where all this snow and have ready to go.

L. Bowers – Don, excuse me Don. That was one of the reasons...

D. Butler – Let me finish please.

L. Bowers - but that's not our policy.

D. Butler – Okay. I don't, I don't buy the fact that they have to have trucks in their yard. I'm sorry, I just, don't do it, no one else does it, no other Township does it, the County doesn't do it, the state doesn't do it, I don't know why we have to do it.

L. Gushue – Maybe Lafayette Township's pretty forward thinking and ahead of the game.

D. Butler – I doubt it very much. I wouldn't call that forward thinking. Next question.

L. Gushue – Does anybody else have a response to that?

N. Shanley- I do. I am the person who called every other Township in the County, and Medina Township is very forthright in telling me that they had been strongly advised against doing it for a number of liability reasons, and they do not. I have a, everyone is responsible for getting to work and if you're in the business of clearing snow, you've got to stay on top of it, I would agree with that. There's a lot of potential liability issues that can arise from driving the truck back and forth, sitting in the driveway and somebody's home and Don made a good point, I didn't even think about, is that if the truck is there and it is trapped in the driveway through something falling, then we've got a plow truck out of commission, where here that's not likely to happen. Again, I don't consider that forward thinking. I think there's other Townships that are bigger than we are that have thought these things through, that have discussed them, and they've been advised, and we can learn from them. We have done things, and I too recall that the primary reason being given for the trucks going home way back when it happened was, was vandalism and a report was filed and so forth. I really just don't think it gets things going any faster and for me, weighing the pros versus the cons and the potential liability, isn't worth it. I would prefer to see the trucks in a bay.

L. Gushue- Did you ask for an opinion from the Prosecutor's Office for this Township? Not...I mean, it's fine to compare some things to other Townships but we're not other Townships.

N. Shanley – Yeah, I did and Bill Thorne and I talked by phone but I proceeded also with a letter because I committed to doing that and everyone got a copy of that and they did respond, I didn't bring it with me tonight. He feels, it's a policy decision. We do have the freedom. He's not telling us we can't, we can't let them take the trucks home. We have to make a decision as a Board whether we feel that's good. What does he feel? It opens a lot of, a lot of concerns. There is also the issue that's been raised in the past of the fact that when the employee is using a Township vehicle to plow his own driveway there is a potential that you could declare that that is a benefit that he gets. The plowing...

L. Gushue – We're talking about he's plowing the driveway because we sent him home for the express purpose to be able to get out his driveway and start plowing.

N. Shanley – He is plowing his own driveway. Normally he would have to remove the snow from his driveway by some other means. But this is, and it could be argued that that's a benefit. I'm not saying we should argue that but it can be argued that it has been done before. There, that is why some departments, police departments for example, do not allow take home vehicles anymore because they have found that they have to declare that as a benefit that the individual has to be taxed on. That, that's reality and that, you know, but we, it's up to us to establish that policy as a Board. And, again, to do what we feel is right for the Township in terms of liability, and it terms of good practice. So, that's as much as ...

L. Bowers – I'll just weigh in briefly. There's a lot of policies and a lot of Townships have that have been around for a long time. There's Townships in this County where the Trustees still do all the plowing. There's Townships in this County where the Trustees still dig the graves, I mean, every Township grows at the pace that it grows. And the, there's a resolution that very clearly sets out why we had that policy and that was to get our guys on the road a little quicker, spread the salt immediately, everything was prepared and they're ready to go. There was also a time, and I did address this at the meeting, when we were suffering a lot of vandalism. And for the vehicles that were sitting outside overnight, because we don't have the bay space, when it wasn't a snow issue, it was during the fall or summer, they did take those trucks home. And that's probably why I pointed that out. That had nothing to do with the plowing. The initial policy had to do with the plowing. You know, maybe that's a policy that's time has come, but I think the problem with what upset you at that meeting has nothing to do with whether that's a good policy or a bad policy. I think the problem was that there was a perception that our Service Department people were being punished for following the policy that the Board set. And that somehow they were doing something that they shouldn't do, and clearly they were not. It's easy to look at them with disdain when we change our minds, so, you know, maybe that was an eye-opener for all of us that, you know, not to hold against them what we have required them to do. So, right now that's not our policy, we may or may not go back to it. Just have to wait and see how things go.

N. Shanley – And Laura, if I could say one thing about the gravel. What I did learn is that the minute that, the ditch itself involves the Township. We're responsible for a clear ditch. Township equipment was used to put that gravel in that ditch. We can't extricate ourselves from that situation. And the rights of that resident to have a clean ditch that, without gravel, where they can mow and they can have unimpeded flow of water is just as important as any other right. So we have, it, it doesn't take us out of the formula. It keeps us right in the equation, I guess I should say, it keeps us right in the middle of the equation. There are multiple ways to plow a driveway. We pay to have our driveway plowed. We do not plow across the road, we plow to the end of our driveway and we spend all kinds of time in the spring raking the gravel back into our driveway. It's just what we do. So there are multiple ways to plow the driveway, it's just a matter of what method is used, what judgment you use, maybe there's, I don't know Dave's property, but the bottom line is, that that particular situation, it did involve the Township and it did involve the Trustees.

L. Gushue – Well, our contention would be then, if you want to require Dave to do that at a certain time, then you pay Dave to do that during Township time. You don't tell him to do it

on his own personal time and then harass him about when are you going to get around to it cause you have no say what he does on his personal time. That's what our contention was. You all made a decision to require him to do that on his personal time.

N. Shanley – That is correct.

L. Gushue – He offered to do it, then...

N. Shanley – And that is something that, you know, that is something I asked about. And we, that should be on his personal time. It was his driveway, he plowed it, it was his decision to put the gravel there. If he does it on his personal time that's fine. But if he makes a commitment to a resident, let's just say that the person who put the gravel in the driveway was not a Township employee and we got a call from a resident, as we got call, get calls from the residents all the time. They want to know when their neighbor's going to re...you know, when is that going to be done? And that person says I will have that gravel out of your drive, or your ditch in a month. And the month passes and it hasn't come. Are we saying that we don't have the right to follow up and say, has that gravel been moved? Your neighbor is still upset about it. It's no different from any other...

L. Gushue- You're not going to do it in open meeting, that's our contention.

D. Butler – Excuse me. Have you gone past that property in question here yet?

L. Gushue – No.

D. Butler – Lately?

L. Gushue – I know that I have gravel from the driveway...

D. Butler – Okay. No, no.

L. Gushue – Across from me on my ditch.

D. Butler – Go past the property with the gravel and everything.

L. Gushue – My gravel's in theirs.

D. Butler – You can still see a pile of gravel there. Still sitting there. Cause it hasn't been cleaned out, it's still sitting there. It's not in front of your house, it's not in front of my house, it's sitting. I went past there today. You know, and it hasn't been cut. You can see the gravel.

L. Bowers – You have other questions?

L. Gushue – The...well first of all, we don't appreciate being called the committee.

D. Butler – Pardon?

L. Gushue – That's disrespectful.

D. Butler – It's not disrespectful. It's a committee.

L. Gushue – The way you put that. And you mentioned something about the Comprehensive Plan which I think was addressed earlier. And then, what about the Charter One declared void. I'm wondering why that accounts been declared void. Or that contract was.

D. Butler – That was Shirley's position.

S. Bailey – It was considered, it was invalid because we did not have a authorized, approved direct, or bank depository. When it was originally set up I had asked Mr. Butler about depository because I was still fairly new with doing them, I had only done one and that was in '06 and he said that there would be no problem, he had talked to Charter One and Charter One said that they do it all the time. So, I went along with it but I did make notations of it that I still was not sure about it. After a time I did contact Bill Thorne because I still was very unsure about what we were doing and he said it was invalid. He said that you have to put out for applications from several, either you call other banks or you put out an ad, whatever, you don't go to just one bank and decide that that's where you want to go because of the funds are going to be at a higher interest rate. He said that since we did that we would have to clear that up. He said that the best thing would be to contact other banks or find if other banks had been contacted, that's the reason why we put out for this bank depository now, in order to make sure that this is in there correctly. And as far as continuing to let the CDs continue, I could not pull those out, because if I pulled them out it would have to be by the Trustees having them pulled out and go into one of our other depositories, which would be going back to either First Merit or going into National City which is now PNC. And Bill Thorne felt that we could just keep them going since we already started them and we were in the process of trying to correct the problem. And that is what we're doing now is correcting the problem.

L. Gushue – Well, what was the initial problem? I don't understand.

S. Bailey – We did not...

D. Butler – Form over substance.

S. Bailey – Go for the...

D. Butler – We should have done a resolution, it's outlined, it wasn't followed. It was my first month in office. All I know is I went down to PNC and found we had two million dollars in our checkbook earning .3% when the market was doing three to four. We got that corrected. I just thought the next logical step was to invest money longer term at even a higher rate. And form wasn't followed properly. Two and a half years later it came to the surface, it was even acknowledged at the Trustee meeting that they were earning more than anyone else was earning, it's now been corrected.

L. Gushue – Okay. But, okay. But the twenty-two times in the last two years, why it's turned over is because the Trustees at the time have voted to turn them over.

D. Butler – There's no voting involved.

N. Shanley – No, there's no vote, it just happens. When they come due Shirley just turns them over.

L. Bowers – Laura, you can go back, I don't want to go over plowed ground cause it doesn't matter who did what, but there's emails, there's letters, there's all sorts of stuff. Mrs. Bailey has consistently tried to pursue nailing down the process that was followed to document that the proper process was followed. She couldn't do it so finally, I think it was April 21st maybe of this year, Mr. Thorne reviewed all of the documentation and said either it doesn't exist or it hasn't been provided. Either way go back and fix it. At the end of the day, here's what happens. We've been earning a higher interest rate. It was a mistake but we've been higher, earning a higher interest rate and it's been left there to earn that higher interest rate and the worst thing that's gonna happen is there's probably gonna be a notation on the audit that says you shouldn't have done that, don't do it again, so that's the ramification.

L. Gushue – At the end of the day, we are concerned of what we see and that the Town...the Service Department is being singled out and, by this Board, and we're, we're really afraid that we are going to be run through the mill and I think the protestation of the, their union application is probably one more symptom of a problem. I mean, if the technicality or whatever that you're basing stuff on is going, according to these minutes, is going to actually be resolved in a couple of months, I'm not sure why we're fighting them joining the union. I'm not a huge fan of unions but in this case what I've seen, I support them in pursuing that.

D. Butler – It, and I love your assumptions you make and to you their valid. To me they're invalid. No one's fighting the union. We owe it, by law, to file. And that is all we did. No one said that we're fighting a union. No one said we don't want a union. You just made the comment why are we fighting a union. I don't know where that came from. Didn't come from this Board. Did not come from me.

L. Gushue – It's from this, the minutes.

D. Butler – No. We have a...

L. Gushue – Filing an objection...

D. Butler – procedure, the state allows us to use and we used that procedure. If we did anything else, we'd be really in violation of our responsibilities to our residents. It goes the proper procedure, what happens, happens. You're in, you're putting things in there that you think are being done and they are not being done.

L. Gushue – Well, you know what? I'm here to find out.

D. Butler – Well I'm telling you.

L. Gushue – Okay.

D. Butler – I'm telling you.

L. Bowers – I will tell you, you know, I've done the research. What I do, I called a pile of other Townships and to my surprise, their Service Departments are unionized mostly in Stark County. And they think it's great. There's no question about how benefits are paid, there's no question about overtime, everything is laid out, everything's agreed on and it, particularly the Fiscal Officers seem to think that it runs very smoothly, so I certainly don't have a problem with that happening. It's not a wage issue. I don't think it's going to be a wage issue.

L. Gushue – Okay. Thanks.

L. Bowers – Anything else? Mr. Hollish. Losing the blood in his fingers.

D. Hollish – Rushed out about ten minutes ago. Dave Hollish. I didn't hear anything about this letter until now, till you read it. Bottom line is, and I don't care what anyone says, people are losing their jobs everywhere in this country. Downsize, companies are downsizing cause they are, have lack of money. I'm not picking on the Service Department or anything, but if a, any Township or whatever has a budget and they have to live within the means of that budget, and to go to every department and say we got to streamline this, I see nothing wrong with it. I see nothing wrong with streamlining a department to make it run efficient so we don't have to raise taxes. Using man hours properly. Everyone in this room, if you're still working, fears losing their job. But it's a part of life, no matter what.

L. Bowers – Mrs. Heiszek?

S. Heiszek – Sue Heiszek, Lafayette Road. I have been a resident of Lafayette Township for twenty-seven years; have attended meetings regularly for several years. I obviously have an interest in how this Township is governed and I believe the committee, Ms. Gushue, that you spearheaded is called Lafayette Citizens for Positive Government? Is your group open to new members? Because I would like to join. I'm sorry, Ms. Pindroh?

L. Gushue – We'll consider it.

S. Heiszek – Alright. Well, I will leave you my contact information at the end of the meeting then. But by saying you'll consider it it means that you're being very selective which is not open government and there's no transparency, so.

L. Gushue – We're not a government.

L. Bowers – Anything else? Do you have checks that need to be signed?

S. Bailey – Yes.

L. Bowers – I would move to pay the warrants of the Township.

S. Bailey – We have a stack of them.

L. Bowers – Pay the warrants of the Township, anybody? Mr. Butler? Yes? Maybe?

D. Butler – Eh, let's not do it.

L. Bowers – Mrs. Shanley? Yes? Maybe?

N. Shanley – Can I just ask? I know, Shirley I know you were busy with the budget stuff. If we can possibly go back to getting a check register early enough to really look it over. I'd really appreciate it.

S. Bailey – I, I would...

N. Shanley – I know you were working on the budget. I know you were.

S. Bailey – And I, as you saw me yesterday, that's when I started doing the checks. Okay?

N. Shanley – I know. I just can't tell you how much I appreciate the extra time to look that over to make sure what the heck I'm seeing.

S. Bailey – Yeah, I realize that.

N. Shanley – Okay. That's all.

L. Bowers – Can I have a second on paying the warrants of the Township?

N. Shanley – I will second.

L. Bowers – All in favor, aye?

L. Bowers, N. Shanley – Aye.

L. Bowers – And opposed, same sign. I'm sorry?

A. Strogin – Don't forget the site plan still needs to be done.

L. Bowers – Yes. Site plans need to be signed. And who has them? Marlene? Yeah, they'll go, those go in the signing pile. I will adjourn the meeting at 9:35.

Meeting adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

Approved: _____

Donald Butler, Trustee

Lynda Bowers, Trustee

Nanci Shanley, Trustee

Shirley Bailey, Fiscal Officer